

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYAJI**  
**BAIL PETITION No. 437/2026**

Chandra Shekhar Ram S/o Bideshi Ram  
R/o Village- Silaunja, P.S.- Belaganj, District- Gaya----- Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

**25.03.2026**

A bail application has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner, who is in custody since **21.02.2026**, in connection with **Tekari P.S. Case No. 295/2019**, for the offences registered **U/s. 409 of I.P.C., pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-VI, Gaya.**

2. Heard both the sides on the bail application.

3. Learned defence counsel, submitted that prior to instant petition, petitioner has not filed bail before this Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. The allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is totally false and baseless one as F.I.R. was lodged on 20.08.2019 i.e. after lapse of four years as the occurrence is said to have happened of the period between 2003 to 2015. Actually one Upendra Prasad and one BEO was authority and the said Upendra Prasad has already been suspended. During the pendency of appointment the charges was not handed over to the petitioner and the petitioner has no concern with the said appointment of illegal Panchayat Teacher. Vide letter dated 14.08.2015 of B.D.O., Tekari in which it had written that Mr. Upendra Prasad had made illegalities and not handed over charge to anyone. There after this petitioner had joined in the year 2015 without taking official charge relates to the year 2003 to 2015, hence offence is not make out against the petitioner. Lastly, submitted that the petitioner having three criminal antecedents as Tekari P.S. Case No. 13/2017, Tekari P.S. Case No. 749/2023, Atri P.S. Case No. 279/2018 in which he is on bail and he is in custody in this case since 21.02.2026. So he may be released on bail.

4. Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Prosecution case, in brief, as per informant Praveen Kumar Sinha, Block Development Officer is that as per rule of Panchayat Teacher appointment, Panchayat Secretary is responsible for maintaining and keeping all the records regarding

appointment of Panchayat Teacher. As per letter of District Education Officer bearing No. 3281, dated 14.09.2018, all the Panchayat Secretary should submit all the records from the period of 2003 to 2015 regarding appointment of teacher to the incharge, but records were not submitted to the incharge. Hence submitted application to register case against Sri Gulshan Chaudhary, Panchayat Secretary of Jalalpur and petitioner Sri Chandrashekar Ram, Panchayat Secretary of Sanda.

6. Heard both the parties and perused the case record. From perusal of record, it appears that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. The allegation against petitioner is that he being the Panchaya Secretary is responsible for maintaining and keeping all the records regarding appointment of Panchayat Teacher but he has not handed over the charge to the incharge regarding the said teacher appointment from the period of 2003 to 2015 in compliance of letter of District Education Officer. Statement of witnesses at para 09, 10 & 11 of the case diary in which they have fully supported the case of the prosecution. Vide para 22 of the case diary, during investigation D.S.P. has found case true against the petitioner. From perusal of order-sheet, it transpired that on the prayer of Investigation Officer of this case, N.B.W. and 82 of Cr.P.C. was directed to be issued to the accused petitioner and on the issuance of 82 of Cr.P.C. accused petitioner has surrendered before the Ld. Trial Court on 21.02.2026. Vide para 166 of the case diary, one criminal antecedent was found against the accused petitioner of similar nature whereas petitioner admitted to have three criminal antecedents vide para 03 of his bail petition. Allegation against the accused petitioner is serious and grave in nature. Investigation of this case is still going on.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, gravity of offence and with regard to pending stage of the investigation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer of bail filed and moved on behalf of the petitioner, above named, is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

**(Shashi Kant Ojha)**  
**Addl. Sessions Judge- I, Gaya**  
**25.03.2026**