

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI

A.B.P. No. 899/2026

Rohit Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar

Mahkar P.S. Case No. 35/2025

09.03.2026

Present :

For the petitioner---: Md. Shah Alam, Ld. Advocate

For the State-----: Sri S.B. Ojha, Ld. P.P.

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner Rohit Kumar apprehending his arrest in connection with Mahkar P.S. Case No. 35/2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 110, 352 and 3(5) of the BNS.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that while the informant was engaged in irrigating his land, a dispute arose during which it was alleged that the delivery pipe was attempted to be damaged by the petitioner, leading to a scuffle between the two groups.

Ld. counsel further contends that although during the scuffle some insignificant injuries were caused but there was no such intention to cause any serious injury or death.

Ld. counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that both the parties have already settled their dispute and differences and good feeling has now been restored and taking into account the aforesaid submissions and the nature of the injuries, the prayer for anticipatory bail of other co-accused persons namely Ritik Kumar and Dharambir Kumar was considered by this Court vide order dated 01.05.2025 passed in ABP No. 1359/2025 and as such, the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner be also considered favourably.

Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail.

I have considered the submissions and have perused the order dated 01.05.2025 passed in ABP No. 1359/2025 which has been attached with

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, GAYAJI**A.B.P. No. 899/2026****Rohit Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar****Mahkar P.S. Case No. 35/2025**

this anticipatory bail application and have also perused the copy of the compromise petition filed in the Court of Ld. ACJM-4th, Gaya which has also been attached with this anticipatory bail petition.

In view of the compromise and the nature of the injury as has been referred to in the said order and on the basis of submission of Ld. P.P., this Court is of the view that the prayer for anticipatory bail deserves to be considered.

Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application stands **allowed**. It is directed that the petitioner in the event of arrest or surrender before the court concerned within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.25,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned with condition as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS.

(Dictated and corrected)

Sd/-
(M. K. Kaushik)
Sessions Judge, Gayaji
09.03.2026

Date of Judgment/Order	09.03.2026
Date of reserving Judgment/Order	N/A
Uploading Date	11.03.2026
Uploaded by	Steno (Vikash Kumar)