

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYAJI
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 662/2026

Ravi Mehta @ Ravi Kiran Mehta S/o Radha Kant Mehta
R/o Village- Sikahar, P.S.- Muffassil, District- Gaya ----- Petitioner
VERSUS

The State of Bihar ----- Opposite Party

23.04.2026

It is worth to mention here that in despite of repeated directions, the Ld. A.P.P. has failed to produce the case dairy. Hence, keeping in view of direction given by the Hon'ble Apex Court in '*Satender Kumar Antil Vs CBI & Another*' and in view of the direction of the Hon'ble High Court, Patna contained in letter No. 46389-46425, dated 14.07.2017, this A.B.P. is taken up for hearing and is being disposed of on the material available on record.

2. An anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner, who is apprehending his arrest, in connection with *Muffassil P.S. Case No. 1046/2025*, for the offences registered **U/s. 285, 292, 190, 125, 326, 326(g) & 324(4) of the B.N.S., pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Gaya.**

3. Heard both the sides on the bail application.

4. Learned defence counsel, submitted that prior to this, the petitioner has filed anticipatory bail petition vide A.B.P. No. 4118/2025 which was dismissed for default by this Court vide order dated 20.01.2026 and except this, no any anticipatory bail has filed before this Court or the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent, committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case due to village politics to defame the petitioner. Petitioner was not present on the place of occurrence at the time of occurrence. All the Sections are bailable except Section 326(g) of BNS which is not applicable to the petitioner as per the allegation made in F.I.R. against the petitioner. There is no legal evidence against the accused petitioner. Lastly he submitted that petitioner having no criminal antecedent. Hence, it is prayed for grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner.

5. Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. The prosecution story, in short, as per informant Subodh Kumar, Circle Officer, Manpur Anchal, Gaya is that on 02.03.2025 at night a person was shot dead and after receiving information at 10.00 A.M. by Muffassil S.H.O. and other people that the road has been blocked against the death

of the person at Bhusunda More, the informant reached at 10.10 A.M. at the place of occurrence where S.H.O. Muffassil with other police personnel were present and a crowd of 100 people had blocked the road by burning the tyre. Even after request for peace some acquaintances of deceased blocked the road and the balcony of murderer house put on fire which was extinguished by the help of Fire brigade and local people. On verification, out of crowd two people were identified as Ravi Mehta (petitioner) and other as Ravindra Tiger. Both were aggressive and gathered people and provoked them. These persons from 10.00 to 10.30 A.M. kept the road block from Bhusunda More to murderer house and due to this reason, the traffic was jammed and law and order situation was affected. After lot of effort, the block was removed and traffic started moving as usual.

7. Heard both the parties and perused the material available on record. From perusal of the case record, it appears that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. The allegation against the accused petitioner is that he along with one other co-accused led the crowd of 100 persons and instigated them to protest and block the road by burning the tyres against the murder of a person. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner rather allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus. Accused petitioner claimed to have clean antecedent vide para 03 of his bail petition.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and other materials on record, this court thinks it fit to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within a month from the date of this order, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each. This direction for anticipatory bail is subject to all conditions stipulated u/s. 482 of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Gaya
23.04.2026