

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYA
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 529/2026

Shankar Kumar S/o Satyanarayan Yadav
R/o Village- Odanpur, P.S.- Neemchak Bathani,
District- Gaya

----- Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

15.04.2026

An anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner, who his apprehending his arrest, in connection with ***Neemchak Bathani P.S. Case No. 239/2024***, for the offences registered **U/s. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 329(4), 324(4), 75, 303(2), 352, 351(2)(3), 3(5) of the B.N.S., pending in the Court of Ms. Arpita Dubey, Ld. J.M.F.C., Gaya.**

2. Heard both the sides on the bail application.

3. Learned defence counsel, submitted that prior to this, the petitioners have not filed either anticipatory or regular bail petition before this Court or the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent, committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case due to previous enmity and local politics. Lastly, he submitted that the petitioner having no criminal antecedent. Hence, it is prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner.

4. Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

5. The case of prosecution based on written report of informant, in brief is that, on 29.10.2024 at about 06:30 PM, accused persons including petitioner named in the F.I.R entered into the house of informant, abused and assaulted him. Accused Shrawan Kumar assaulted his wife Rinku Devi causing to her injury on eye and head. When his father tried to save her, they assaulted his father causing severe head injury too. Thereafter co-accused Anand Yadav armed with club assaulted informant causing severe head injury. It is further alleged that they badly damaged his E-rikshaw (To-To) and also stole hiswife's gold earring, Jitiya and nose-pin.

6. Heard both sides and perused the case record. From perusal of the case record, it appears that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. There is allegation against the petitioner and other co-accused of assaulting and causing injuries to four persons. Injury report of Rinku Devi is at para 42 of the case diary, which has been found grievous in nature. Statement of witnesses at para 07, 08, 09, 10 &

72 of the case diary in which they have fully supported the case of the prosecution. Investigation against the accused petitioner is still going on. Moreover regular bail as well as anticipatory bail of similarly situated other co-accused persons have already been rejected by the Ld. A.S.J.-II, Gaya vide B.P. No. 1330/2025, 4101/2025, dated 04.06.2025 & 28.11.2025 respectively.

7. Thus, considering the facts and circumstances of the case as discussed above, nature of offence and with regard to pending stage of the investigation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Gaya
15.04.2026