

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYA
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 379/2026

=====

1. Afrida Khatoon W/o Md. Abid @ Abid Mian 2. Julekha Khatoon W/o Rahmatulla Mian 3. Najabun Nisha W/o Mohammad Samid @ Samir Mian 4. Firoza Khatoon W/o Mohammad Mustaqim @ Mustaqim Mian All R/o village- Salempur, P.S.- Muffassil, District- Gaya	----- Petitioners
VERSUS	
The State of Bihar	----- Opposite Party

=====

09.04.2026

An anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the above named accused/petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with ***Muffassil P.S. Case No. 187/2023***, for the offences registered **U/s. 341, 323, 385, 387, 427, 504, 506, 34 of the IPC, pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Gayaji.**

2. Heard both the sides on the bail application.

3. Learned defence counsel, submitted that prior to this, the petitioners have not filed any anticipatory bail petition before this Court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioners are innocent, committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that there is no specific allegations against the accused petitioners. Anticipatory bail of other co-accused persons have already been granted by the predecessor Court vide A.B.P. No. 1487/2023 and A.B.P. No. 3054/2023, dated 28.07.2023 and 21.12.2023 respectively. Lastly he submitted that petitioners are women and having one criminal antecedent vide Muffassil P.S. Case No. 482/2023. Hence, it is prayed to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the accused petitioners.

Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

The prosecution case, in brief, as per informant is that he constructed a boundary wall over the land bearing Khata No. 70, Plot No. 140, Eraji No. 3372 by making an agreement through Gorelal Yadav, Ramnaresh Yadav and Rameshwar Yadav, which was

broken by the accused petitioners and other co-accused persons on 04.02.2023. After receiving the information about the same, informant went to his land then all accused persons abused and pressing his neck by wrapping gamchha around his neck and demanded Rs. 5 lakhs as ransom money, otherwise they will kill him.

Heard both the parties and perused the material available on record. From perusal, it appears that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. The allegation against the petitioners are of breaking the boundary wall which was constructed over the land of informant and of assaulting informant and also of demanding ransom of Rs. 5 lakhs. Vide para 115 of the case diary notice U/S 35(3) of BNSS was served to the petitioners and vide para 120 of the case diary, they appeared before the police station on the compliance of notice issued to them and they were released on police bond. Charge-sheet has also submitted against the petitioners after completion of investigation against them. Petitioners are women and admitted to have one criminal antecedent vide para 03 of their bail petition. Moreover anticipatory bail of other co-accused persons have already been granted by the predecessor Court vide A.B.P. No. 1487/2023 and A.B.P. No. 3054/2023, dated 28.07.2023 and 21.12.2023 respectively.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and other materials on record, this court thinks it fit to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within a month from the date of this order, the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each. This direction for anticipatory bail is subject to conditions that they will remain present on each and every date till framing of charge along with all the conditions stipulated U/S 482 of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Gaya
09.04.2026