

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1,
EAST CHAMPARAN, MOTIHARI.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 5898 of 2025

1. Nanhak Mahto, S/o Bachchan Mahto, Resident of village- Panchbhirwa, P.S.
Sangrampur, District- East Champaran ----- **Petitioner**

VERSUS

State of Bihar ----- **Opp. Party.**

Appearance: -

Counsel for the accused : - Binay Kumar Singh, Ld. Advocate.
Counsel for the State/O.P. : - Sri Khublal Prasad, Ld. P.P.

Date of Order: 25.03.2026

ORDER

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above named petitioner, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1694 of 2023, U/s- 498(A) of I.P.C. Heard, the Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner and Ld. P.P. on behalf of State/O.P.

The complainant case in brief as stated in complaint petition is that her marriage was solemnized with the petitioner on 30.06.2019 as per Hindu rites and customs. They begot one child out of their wedlock and after sometime petitioner along with his family members started demanding Rs. 50,000/- and a buffalo as dowry from the complainant and due to non-fulfillment of said demand, petitioner along with his family members started committing cruelty and torture the complainant followed with assault. Lastly accused persons ousted the complainant from her matrimonial house after snatching her belongings.

Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of accused petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent, has falsely been implicated in this case. No other regular or anticipatory bail petition has ever been filed on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or any superior court. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Further it has been submitted that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are false and concocted. Petitioner has never tortured the complainant nor demanded dowry. The petitioner is the husband of the complainant. He is ready to abide all the terms and conditions imposed by the court.

On other hand, Ld. P.P(I/C) has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of complainant appeared before this court and he opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides and perused the record. From perusal of record it appears that the allegation brought against the petitioner is serious in nature. It has been alleged that the petitioner along with his family members have tortured the victim due to non-fulfillment non-fulfillment of dowry, accused persons started committing cruelty and torture the complainant followed by assault. Lastly accused persons ousted the complainant from her matrimonial house after snatching her belongings. From perusal of record it appears that this record was sent to the mediation centre for mediation. Where the complainant stated that she is ready to live to the petitioner but petitioner has refused to keep his wife. Being the husband of the complainant/victim, it is the matrimonial duty and obligation of the petitioner to keep his wife i.e. the complainant/victim with honour and dignity and provide her the basic necessities of life to which he has failed.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and nature and gravity of the offence alleged, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the above named accused petitioner and accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Surendra Prasad)

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-1,

Motihari, East Champaran.

Dated: 25.03.2026