

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1,**  
**EAST CHAMPARAN, MOTIHARI.**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 5519 of 2025**

1. Vikash Mahto, s/o- Ramparvesh Mahto, Resident of village- Jaitapur, P.S.  
Palanwa, District- East Champaran -----**Petitioner**

**VERSUS**

State of Bihar -----**Opp.**

**Party.**

**Appearance: -**

Counsel for the accused : -Sri Rambabu Jha, Ld. Advocate.  
Counsel for the State/O.P. : -Sri Khublal Prasad, Ld. P.P.

**Date of Order: 01.04.2026**

**ORDER**

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above named petitioner, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 47 of 2025, U/s- 85 of B.N.S. Heard, the Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner and Ld. P.P. on behalf of State/O.P..

The complainant's case in brief as stated in her complaint petition that that her marriage was solemnized with petitioner as per Hindu Rites and Rituals on 09.03.2022. After sometime of marriage the petitioner and his family members started demanding Rs. 5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakh) as dowry from the complainant and due to non-fulfillment of said demand, all of them started committing cruelty and torture the complainant followed with assault. Lastly accused persons ousted the complainant from her matrimonial house after snatching her belongings.

Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of accused petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent, has falsely been implicated in this case. No other regular or anticipatory bail petition has ever been filed on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or any superior court. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Further it has been submitted that the allegation whatsoever levelled against the petitioner is false and concocted and petitioner is happens to be the husband of the complainant and he is ready to keep his wife with full dignity. Further it has been submitted that the case has been settled between both the parties outside the court in support of which he has

submitted a the document. He is ready to abide all the terms and conditions imposed by the court.

On other hand, Ld. P.P(I/C) has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Ld. counsel on behalf of complainant appeared and he opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides and perused the record. From perusal of record it appears that there is allegation against the petitioner that he along with his family members committed cruelty and tortured the informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. Ld. counsel on behalf of petitioner has submitted that the case has been settled outside the court in supported of which he has filed some document. Moreover the offence as alleged is punishable below 7 years.

Considering the aforesaid facts, circumstances of the case and also considering that the offences punishable below 7 years, I am inclined to give privilege of pre-arrest bail to above named accused petitioner. Accordingly, in the event of his arrest or surrender in the trial court within four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, before the learned trial court, the above named petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the learned trial court in the aforesaid case and subject to the condition as laid down u/s 482(2) B.N.S.S.

**(Dictated)**

Sd/-

**(Surendra Prasad)**

**District & Addl. Sessions Judge-1,**

Motihari, East Champaran.

Dated: 01.04.2026