

**In the Court of 6<sup>th</sup> District & Addl. Sessions Judge-Cum-Exclusive Special Judge,  
POCSO Act, East Champaran, Motihari, Bihar.**

**(Present:- Amlesh Kumar Singh)**

**A.B.P No.- 3514/2026**  
**(Arising out of Sugauli P.S Case No. 273/2026)**

Munaid Alam, S/o- Wajir Mian, aged about- 20 years,  
R/o village- Jaukatiya, Naya Tola, Ward No. 5, P.S- Majhauilya,  
District- West Champaran (Bettiah)..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

For the Accused-petitioner:- Md. Alamgir, learned advocate.

For the State :- Sri Mani Kumar, Learned Special P.P.

**Dated- 28.07.2026.**

**ORDER**

1. This application has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail on behalf of the accused person namely, Munaid Alam in connection with Sugauli P.S. Case no. 273/2026 registered u/s- 137(2), 87 of B.N.S., & Section- 8/12 of POCSO Act.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner submits before the court that no any other anticipatory or regular bail petition of any nature has been filed in on behalf of the petitioner, either in this Ld. Court or before any Superior Court. Petitioner has no any criminal antecedents. Petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged and has falsely been implicated in this case due to enmity. The allegations whatsoever levelled against the petitioner is out and out false, fabricated, and concocted. Petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged occurrence. So, the alleged sections are not attracted against the petitioner. Therefore, he may be released on anticipatory bail.

2. Ld. Spl. S.P.P. for the state, has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits before the court that there is direct and specific allegation against the accused-petitioner that he has kidnapped the minor daughter of the informant. The allegation is quite serious and clearly against the society. Hence, his anticipatory bail plea may be rejected.

3. Heard both the parties and perused the record, the court finds that the F.I.R has been lodged u/s- 137(2), 87 of B.N.S., & Section- 8/12 of POCSO Act, against five accused-persons including present petitioner. In the F.I.R the informant alleges that on 16.05.2026 at about 05:00 o' clock in the morning, his minor daughter had gone to attend nature's call but did not return back till late hours. After being inquired informant got knowledge that co-accused Jahid Alam including present petitioner had kidnapped his minor daughter on a motorcycle. When informant went to to accused persons house for inquiry of his minor daughter, then accused persons had assured him to return his minor daughter back within some time, but they did not do the same. Informant has firmed belief that accused persons including present petitioner had

**In the Court of 6<sup>th</sup> District & Addl. Sessions Judge-Cum-Exclusive Special Judge,  
POCSO Act, East Champaran, Motihari, Bihar.**

**(Present:- Amlesh Kumar Singh)**

**A.B.P No.- 3514/2026**

**(Arising out of Sugauli P.S Case No. 273/2026)**

Munaid Alam, S/o- Wajir Mian, aged about- 20 years,  
R/o village- Jaukatiya, Naya Tola, Ward No. 5, P.S- Majhauilya,  
District- West Champaran (Bettiah)..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

For the Accused-petitioner:- Md. Alamgir, learned advocate.

For the State :- Sri Mani Kumar, Learned Special P.P.

**Dated- 28.07.2026.**

kidnapped his minor daughter with ill intentions. Later on he also alleges that accused persons had demanded a ransom of rupees 5,00,000/-(five lakhs) for release of his minor daughter. Hence, this case was lodged. After further perusal this court finds that victim has been recovered and her statement u/s- 183 of B.N.S.S., has been recorded. In her statement she has stated adverse statement against the accused petitioner. She has stated that on dated: 16.05.2026 co-accused Jahid has kidnapped her by intoxicating her and took her to Hyderabad and present accused petitioner was also involved in committing the alleged occurrence. The allegation is direct & specific and clearly against the society. The investigation is still going on.

Therefore, after considering all the fact and evidences available on the record and the gravity and seriousness of alleged offences, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the accused-petitioner namely Munaid Alam.

Hence, his anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

**(Amlesh Kumar Singh)**

6<sup>th</sup> District & Addl. Sessions Judge  
cum Exclusive Special Judge POCSO Act,  
East Champaran, Motihari.