

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1,
EAST CHAMPARAN, MOTIHARI.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2779 of 2026

1. Rijwan Alam, S/o Abbas Mian, Resident of village- Sakrar Bahuari, Ward No. 10-,
P.S. Ramgarhwa, District- East Champaran ----- **Petitioner**

VERSUS

State of Bihar -----**Opp. Party.**

Appearance: -

Counsel for the accused : -Sri Ranjan Kumar Shrivastava, Ld. Advocate.
Counsel for the State/O.P. : -Sri Khublal Prasad, Ld. P.P.

Date of Order: 29.07.2026

ORDER

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above named petitioner, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Ramgarhwa P.S. Case No. 421 of 2025, U/s- 126(2), 115(2), 85, 76, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. and $\frac{3}{4}$ D.P. Act.

Heard, the Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner and Ld. P.P. on behalf of State/O.P.

The prosecution case in brief as stated by the informant in her written report is that her marriage was solemnized with petitioner Rijwan Alam in the year 2018 as per Muslim rites and rituals and after sometime of marriage, petitioner along with other F.I.R. named accused persons started demanding Rs. 1,00,000/- as dowry from the informant and due to non-fulfillment of said demand, all the accused persons started committing cruelty and torture the informant followed with assault. Lastly accused persons ousted the informant from her matrimonial house after snatching her belongings.

Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of accused petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent, have falsely been implicated in this case. No other regular or anticipatory bail petition has ever been filed on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or any superior court. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Further it has been submitted that the allegation whatsoever levelled against the petitioner is false and concocted and petitioner is happens to be the husband of the informant. Further it has been submitted that petitioner is never demanded dowry not and he is ready to keep his wife. He is ready to abide all the terms and conditions imposed by the court.

On other hand, Ld. P.P has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Informant along with her learned counsel also appeared before this court and the learned counsel has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides and perused the record. From perusal of record it appears that the petitioner is the husband of the informant. There is an allegation against the petitioner that he along with co-accused persons have committed cruelty due to non fulfillment of the demand of dowry. Today informant and the petitioner appeared before this court for mediation but unfortunately mediation between both the parties failed. Learned counsel on behalf of informant has submitted that earlier dated of panchayati was fixed before the punches for mutual settlement of the case between both the parties but petitioner not appeared in the panchayati in support of which he has filed a panchnama. Being the husband of the victim, it is the matrimonial duty and obligation of the petitioner to keep his wife i.e. the victim with honour and dignity and provide her the basic necessities of life to which he has failed. The allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and nature and gravity of the offence alleged, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the above named accused petitioner and accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Surendra Prasad)

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-1,
Motihari, East Champaran.