
In the Court of Sessions Judge, East Champaran at Motihari.

Present:-

**Abhishek K. Das,
Sessions Judge,
East Champaran at Motihari**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 3330 of 2026

1. Pankaj Kumar @ Pankaj Kumar Mishra, aged about 30 years, S/o- Rudra Narayan Mishra @ Bhutuk Mishra.
2. Ujjawal Kumar @ Ujjawal Kumar Mishra, aged about 27 years, S/o- Rudra Narayan Mishra @ Bhutuk Mishra.
Both resident of village- Dewapur Ward No.16, P.S. Patahi, District- East Champaran.

-----Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar

-----Opposite party.

Counsel for the Petitioners :- Sri Ramdhani Singh, Advocate

Counsel for the State :- Sri Khublal Prasad, Public Prosecutor.

13.07.2026

This anticipatory bail petition is filed on behalf of the petitioners, namely, (1) Pankaj Kumar @ Pankaj Kumar Mishra and (2) Ujjawal Kumar @ Ujjawal Kumar Mishra, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pachpakari P.S. Case No. 175 of 2025 u/ss 80(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

As per para-2 of the anticipatory bail petition, no other anticipatory or regular bail petition has been filed earlier on behalf of the petitioners either in this Court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court, and as per para-3 the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

As per the F.I.R., the informant Shyam Chnadra Jha's daughter Priya Kumari @ Mulki was married to Niraj Kumar on 13.05.2022. Shortly after the marriage, all the persons named in the F.I.R. including the petitioners committed cruelty his daughter and demanded Rs. 15,00,000/- to purchase land in the city. On 07.11.2025 at between 8:00 AM to 8:15 AM the informant received information that his daughter was in critical condition, he subsequently reached the matrimonial house of his daughter alongwith his family members and found her dead body hanging and her in-laws absconding from the house.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have committed no offence. The petitioners are in-laws of the deceased and they have no concern with the alleged occurrence.

13.07.2026

Continued

The learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides and perused the record. The petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with the allegation that they alongwith other co-accused persons committed murder of informant's daughter due to non-fulfillment of demand of rupees fifteen lakhs. As per para-3 of the case diary, containing inquest report, death appears to have occurred due to hanging. As per para-38 of the case diary, containing postmortem report, cause of death is asphyxia due to hanging, caused by ligature. As per para-7, 9, 10, 11, 12 of the case diary, the informant in his restatement and the witnesses in their statement have corroborated the version of F.I.R. and supported the prosecution case. As per para-3 of the anticipatory bail petition and para-66 of the case diary, the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. As per para-186 of the case diary, investigation against the petitioners is under progress.

In the facts of the case and keeping in view the allegation of cruelty for dowry demand and unnatural death of deceased at her matrimonial house within seven years of her marriage as well as materials available in the case diary, I am not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners, namely, **(1) Pankaj Kumar @ Pankaj Kumar Mishra** and **(2) Ujjawal Kumar @ Ujjawal Kumar Mishra** stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

**Sessions Judge
East Champaran at Motihari
13.07.2026**