

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1,
EAST CHAMPARAN, MOTIHARI.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2977 of 2026

1. Ram Ayodhya Sahani s/o Bherkhi Sahani
2. Bherkhi Sahani, s/o- Late Kishun Sahani
3. Dharmendra Sahani, s/o- Ram Tahal Sahani
4. Virendra Sahani, s/o- Ram Tahal Sahani
5. Jira Devi, d/o- Bharkhi Sahani
6. Kauli Devi alias Kalawati Devi, w/o- Raudi Sahani

All are resident of vill- Bada Baishahan, P.S. Chakia, District- East Champaran.

----- **Petitioners**

VERSUS

State of Bihar -----**Opp. Party.**

Appearance: -

Counsel for the accused : - Sri Nagendra Sahani, Ld. Advocate.

Counsel for the State/O.P. : -Sri Khublal Prasad, Ld. P.P.

Date of Order:02.07.2026

ORDER

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Chakia P.S Case No. 293 of 2023, U/s- 341, 323, 308, 379, 504 read with 34 of I.P.C.

Heard, the Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners and Ld. P.P. on behalf of State/O.P.

The case of the prosecution in brief as stated by the informant that on the day of occurrence at about 7:00 PM all the F.I.R. named accused persons including these petitioners have assaulted the informant and his son due to previous land dispute due to which they sustained injuries.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. No other regular or anticipatory bail petitioner has been filed earlier in this case on behalf of the petitioners either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Further it has been submitted that the allegation whatsoever leveled against the petitioners is false and concocted. There is a case and counter case between both the parties and there is a land dispute between both the parties. It has also been submitted by the learned counsel on behalf of petitioners that earlier police has given the benefit of section 41(1) of Cr.P.C. and thereafter charge-sheet has been submitted by the police and thereafter cognizance under section 341, 323, 308, 379, 504 read with 34 of I.P.C. has been taken against these petitioners. Petitioners are ready to abide all the terms and conditions imposed by the

court.

On other hand, Ld. P.P opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides and perused the record. From the perusal of record it appears that the allegation against the petitioners that they along with other F.I.R. named accused persons persons have assaulted the informant and his son. The doctor has found the injuries sustained by the injured as simple in nature. Earlier police has given the benefit of section 41(1) of Cr.P.C. to these petitioners. Thereafter charge-sheet has been submitted by the police and thereafter cognizance under section 341, 323, 308, 379, 504 read with 34 of I.P.C. has been taken against these petitioners by the learned trial court. Cognizance has already been taken against these petitioners so there is a no chance of tampering with the evidence.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and nature of the allegation brought against the petitioners, I am inclined to give privilege of pre-arrest bail to the above named petitioners. Accordingly, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court below within four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, before the learned trial court, the above named accused petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each subject to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court below in the aforesaid case and subject to the condition as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Surendra Prasad)
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-1,
Motihari, East Champaran
Dated:- 02.07.2026