

**In the Court of 6th District & Addl. Sessions Judge-Cum-Exclusive Special Judge,
POCSO Act, East Champaran, Motihari, Bihar.**

(Present:- Amlesh Kumar Singh)

A.B.P No.- 2671/2026

(Arising out of Sugauli P.S Case No. 69/2026)

Sachin Kumar @ Sachin Kumar Yadav, S/o- Surendra Yadav, aged about- 22 years,
R/o village- Chapra Bahash, Ward No. 3, P.S- Sugauli,
District- East Champaran..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.
For the Accused-petitioner:- Sri Prakash Kumar Jha, learned advocate.
For the State :- Sri Mani Kumar, Learned Special P.P.

Dated- 20.07.2026.

ORDER

1. This application has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail on behalf of the accused person namely, Sachin Kumar @ Sachin Kumar Yadav in connection with Sugauli P.S. Case no. 69/2026 registered u/s- 137(2), 87, 96 of B.N.S., & Section- 8/12 of POCSO Act.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner submits before the court that no any other anticipatory or regular bail petition of any nature has been filed in on behalf of the petitioner, either in this Ld. Court or before any Superior Court. Petitioner has no any criminal antecedents. Petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged and has falsely been implicated in this case malafidely due to village party politics. The allegations whatsoever levelled in the F.I.R are out and out false, fabricated, and concocted. Victim has already been recovered and her statement u/s- 183 of B.N.S.S., has been recorded in which she has not supported the prosecution case. Petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged occurrence. So, the alleged sections are not attracted against the petitioner. Therefore, he may be released on anticipatory bail.

2. Ld. Spl. S.P.P. for the state, has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits before the court that there is direct and specific allegation against the accused-petitioner that he has kidnapped the minor daughter of the informant. The allegation is quite serious and clearly against the society. Hence, his anticipatory bail plea may be rejected.

3. Heard both the parties and perused the record, the court finds that the F.I.R has been lodged u/s- 137(2), 87, 96 of B.N.S., & Section- 8/12 of POCSO Act, against seven accused persons including present petitioner. It has been alleged by the informant that on 15.02.2026 at about 11:30 o' clock at night, accused petitioner including one another co-accused had kidnapped his minor daughter and niece of the informant by influencing them, when he was not present at his house. Informant also alleges that accused persons had also taken away some ornaments and a cash of rupees 30,000/- from his house by influencing the victim girls. When

**In the Court of 6th District & Addl. Sessions Judge-Cum-Exclusive Special Judge,
POCSO Act, East Champaran, Motihari, Bihar.**

(Present:- Amlesh Kumar Singh)

A.B.P No.- 2671/2026

(Arising out of Sugauli P.S Case No. 69/2026)

Sachin Kumar @ Sachin Kumar Yadav, S/o- Surendra Yadav, aged about- 22 years,
R/o village- Chapra Bahash, Ward No. 3, P.S- Sugauli,
District- East Champaran..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.
For the Accused-petitioner:- Sri Prakash Kumar Jha, learned advocate.
For the State :- Sri Mani Kumar, Learned Special P.P.

Dated- 20.07.2026.

informant got knowledge about this incident he went to accused persons house for inquiry of victim girls, then co-accused persons abused and physically assaulted him. Hence, this case was lodged. After further perusal of the record this court finds that the statement of the victim girls u/s- 183 of B.N.S.S., has been recorded. In her statement both the victim have taken the name of accused petitioner. Both have stated that they went to Delhi, when both have same disputes with their mother/parents. The present accused petitioner met the victim in Delhi and have remained with her in hotel for 8 days before her recovery by the police. Victim is minor as per her schooling certificate (D.O.B- 01.01.2011), and her consent is no consent as per eyes of law. The allegation is direct and specific against the petitioner & clearly against the society. Investigation is still going on.

Therefore, after considering all the fact and evidences available on the record and the gravity and seriousness of alleged offences, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the accused-petitioner namely Sachin Kumar @ Sachin Kumar Yadav.

Hence, his anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Amlesh Kumar Singh)

6th District & Addl. Sessions Judge
cum Exclusive Special Judge POCSO Act,
East Champaran, Motihari.