

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1,
EAST CHAMPARAN, MOTIHARI.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1778 of 2026

1. Umesh Ram, s/o Late Bhikhar Ram
2. Chinta Devi, w/o Bullet Ram
3. Sakhi Kunwar alias Sukhiya Devi, w/o Late Bhikhar Ram
All are resident of vill – Radhia, P.S. Govindganj, District- East Champaran.
4. Sunita Devi alias Sunita Kumari d/o- Late Bhikhar Ram, w/o Kanhaiya Kumar
Yadav, Resident of vill- Brit Ram Nagar, P.S. Paharpur, District- East Champaran

----- **Petitioners**

VERSUS

State of Bihar -----**Opp. Party.**

Appearance: -

Counsel for the accused : - Sri Raj Kumar, Ld. Advocate.
Counsel for the State/O.P. : - Sri Khublal Prasad, Ld. P.P.

Date of Order: 14.05.2026

ORDER

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Govindganj P.S Case No. 233 of 2025, U/s- 80(1) and 61(2) of B.N.S.

Heard, the Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners and Ld. P.P. on behalf of State/O.P.

The case of the prosecution in brief as stated by the informant is that on 20.09.2025 at about 3 PM he son-in-law Mahesh Ram's sister Sunita Devi call her through phone and informed her that her daughter Priyanka Kumari, has been bitten by a snake. After getting information informant along with her son Devilal Ram reached at the house of her daughter and saw her son-in-law and his two sister-in-law, Chinta Devi and Sunita Devi, sitting in a Scorpio with her daughter wrapped in a blanket. Informant and her son also sat in the same vehicle and went to Gahri Meesan to get her daughter treated but doctor was not present there, so from there they took her to GMCH, Bettiah for treatment, where after examination by the doctor, doctor declared her dead. Then informant removed the blanket from the deceased and saw that there were marks of injury on her neck, both cheeks, right toe and the finger next to the toe. The accused persons named in the F.I.R. under a conspiracy under the pretext of snake bite, strangled her daughter to death for dowry.

Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of accused petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case. Earlier A.B.P. no. 5353/2025 filed on behalf of petitioners was dismissed by this Court due to none prosecution, thereafter, no other regular or anticipatory bail petition has ever been filed on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or any superior court. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent. The allegations whatsoever levelled against the petitioners is false and concocted. Further it has been submitted that petitioners are the in-laws of the deceased. Petitioners are ready to abide all the terms and conditions imposed by the court.

On other hand, Ld. Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides and perused the record. From the perusal of record it appears that the allegation against the petitioner that they along with co-accused persons have committed murder of deceased. The witnesses examined during the course of investigation have corroborated the prosecution version. Post-mortem report of deceased is annexed with the case diary, where cause of death is mentioned as death was due to Asphyxia as a result of pressure on the neck. The allegation brought against the petitioners appears to be serious in nature.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and nature and gravity of the offence and materials available in the case diary and also considering the postmortem report of the deceased, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the above named accused petitioners and accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Surendra Prasad)
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-1,
Motihari, East Champaran.
Dated :14.05.2026