
In the Court of Sessions Judge, East Champaran at Motihari.

Present:-

Abhishek K. Das
Sessions Judge,
East Champaran at Motihari.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1762 of 2026

- 1. Vindeshwar Paswan @ Rinku Paswan, aged about 42 years, S/o- Rajendra Paswan.**
 - 2. Vikash Paswan, aged about 30 years, S/o- Late Rajendra Paswan.**
 - 3. Nandlal Paswan @ Tinku Paswan, aged about 35 years, S/o-Late Rajendra Paswan..**
- All R/o village- Manguraha, P.S. Chiraiya, District- East Champaran.

----- **Petitioner.**

Versus

The State of Bihar.

----- **Opposite party.**

Counsel for the Petitioners :- Sri Mokhtar Prasad, Advocate.
Counsel for the State :- Sri Khublal Prasad, Public Prosecutor.
Counsel for the Informant :- Dr. Narendra Deo, Advocate.

18.05.2026

This anticipatory bail petition is filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, (1) Vindeshwar Paswan @ Rinku Paswan, (2) Vikash Paswan and (3) Nandlal Paswan, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Chiraiya P.S. Case No. 131/2026 u/s 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 109(1), 303(2), 74, 191 and 190 of Bharitya Nayay Sanhita, 2023.

As per para-2 of the anticipatory bail petition, no other anticipatory or regular bail petition has been filed earlier on behalf of the petitioners either in this Court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. As per para-3 of the anticipatory bail petition, the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

The prosecution case, in brief, is that the husband of informant went to shop of his nephew Ramo Sah, where Tinku Paswan and Rinku Paswan @ Vindeshwari Paswan (petitioner) demanded articles on credit, on refusal, they threatened them. Thereafter, petitioners and others came there armed with weapons and started assaulting Sanket Kumar. When Awadhesh Kumar tried to save him, Vindeshwari Paswan @ Rinku Paswan (petitioner) assaulted him with farsa with intention to kill him on his head, causing injury. Tinku Paswan (petitioner) assaulted Naresh Sah with bhala with intention to kill him, causing injury. Priyaranjan Paswan assaulted Lalmohan with iron-rod. Vikash Paswan (petitioner) assaulted Amardeep Sah with bhala. Abhimanyu Paswan assaulted Arun, causing cut injury on his finger. Vindeshwari Paswan looted cash Rs.50,000/- from the house of informant. Accused persons also molested the female family members of informant.

18.05.2026

continued...

The learned counsel for the petitioners-accused has submitted that this petitioners-accused are innocent and they have committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case. The fact is that informant's side have brutally assaulted and outraged the modesty of female family members, for which Chiraiya P.S. Case No.130 of 2026. He further submitted that the petitioner no. 1 was on his official duty as security personnel at the SBI, Chiraiya and petitioner no. 3 was acting in self-defense and he was being assaulted by the several family members of the informant and petitioner no. 2 along with other family members reached the place of occurrence with the sole intention of rescuing petitioner no. 3.

The learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned Counsel on behalf of the informant have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides and perused certified copy of F.I.R. and case diary was well as documents available on record. As per F.I.R., petitioners along with others assaulted Sanket and when Awadhesh Sah, Naresh Sah, Lalmohan, Amardeep Sah and Arun Kumar came in rescue, they also assaulted them, causing injury. The witnesses vide para-60 and 61 of the case diary have stated about involvement of petitioner in the alleged occurrence. As per injury reports, mentioned in para-76 of the case diary, Awadhesh Sah has received lacerated wound on parietal bone middle region of size 2" x 1/4" x muscle deep, Arun Kumar has received lacerated wound on left hand forefinger of size 1/2" x 1/4" x muscle deep and Amardeep Sah has received Abrasion on right feet of size 3" x 1/8" x 1/8", all injures are caused by hard and blunt substance and nature of injury is simple. As per para-79 of the case diary and as per para-3 of the anticipatory bail petition, petitioners have no criminal antecedent and as per para-80 of the case diary, investigation of the case is still going on.

In the facts of the case as discussed above and keeping in view the nature of allegation and materials available in the case diary, I am not inclined to grant privilege of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioners, namely, **(1) Vindeshwar Paswan @ Rinku Paswan, (2) Vikash Paswan and (3) Nandlal Paswan** stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

sd/-

Sessions Judge
East Champaran at Motihari
18.05.2026