

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - I
EAST CHAMPARAN, MOTIHARI.**

Bail Petition No. 873 of 2026

Name of petitioner:

1. Tejnarayan Ray, S/o- Motilal Ray, resident of Village- Jamunapur, P.S.- Kalyanpur,
District- East ChamparanPetitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

...Opp. Party/s

Appearance :

For the petitioner/s : Dr. Narendra Deo, Id. Advocate.

For the Opp. Party/s : Sri Khublal Prasad, Id. P.P.

ORDER

15-04-2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned P.P. appearing on behalf of the prosecution.

The petitioner seeks regular bail under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. in connection with Kalyanpur P.S. Case No. 361 of 2025 registered under Sections 80, 3(5) of B.N.S. pending in the Learned Court of A.C.J.M. - XII, East Champaran, Motihari. Petitioner is under custody since 28-02-2026.

The prosecution case, in brief, as per the written application of the informant that on 27.06.2022 his daughter marriage was solemnized with Tejnarayan Rai as per Hindu customs and traditions. After marriage, his son-in-law and all the F.I.R. named accused persons started demanding gold chain and buffalo as dowry. Due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, all the F.I.R. named accused persons committed cruelty and tortured the informant's daughter. Her daughter regularly informed them about the incident. Informant visited his daughter's in-laws house several time. A panchayati was held to settle the matter but the accused persons threatened that if they did not get the dowry, they would kill his daughter. Meanwhile on 05.09.2025 all the F.I.R. named accused persons conspired to murder his daughter and cremate her body. Upon receiving information, when informant and his family member reached his daughter's in-laws house, they found that his daughter had been cremated and all the accused persons have fled away from the house.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged and has falsely been implicated in this case. Earlier A.B.P. no. 5961/2025 has been filed on behalf of petitioner was rejected by this court and Criminal Miscellaneous No. 3248 of 2026 filed on behalf of petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Thereafter petitioner has not preferred any regular or anticipatory bail petition before any superior Court. He has no criminal antecedent. The

allegations whatsoever levelled against the petitioner are false and concocted. It has been further submitted that the date of occurrence of the instance case has been alleged on 05.09.2025 but after lapse of 6 days case has been lodged on 11.09.2025. Petitioner is the husband of the deceased. There is no specific allegation attributed against the petitioner. The deceased who is the daughter of informant has committed suicide after the abnormal pressure of informant and her family members. Deceased was never tortured nor ever was made any sort of dowry demand. Both the parties have entered into a compromise. Moreover petitioner is in jail custody since 28.02.2026. Hence he may be enlarged on bail.

Ld. P.P.(I/C) has been opposed the bail application and has submitted that there is sufficient material against the petitioner in the case diary.

Heard both sides and perused the record. From the perusal of record it appears that the allegation against the petitioner that he along with co-accused have committed murder of deceased. The witnesses examined during the course of investigation have corroborated the prosecution's version. As per supervision report as mentioned in Para 12 of case diary police found that the case is true against the petitioner along with co-accused persons. The petitioner is the husband of the deceased. The allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature. Moreover earlier A.B.P. no. 5961/2025 has been filed on behalf of petitioner was rejected by this court and Criminal Miscellaneous No. 3248 of 2026 filed on behalf of petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and nature and gravity of the offence and materials available in the case diary, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the above named accused petitioner and accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)
Sd/-

(Surendra Prasad)
District & Addl. Sessions Judge- I
Motihari, East Champaran.
Dated:- 15.04.2026