

(i) Nitish Sahani @ Nitish Kumar, age about 21 years, S/o Pannala Sahni,
(ii) Anil Sahani @ Anil Kumar Chaudhary, age about 37 years, S/o Shri Sahani
@ Shri Chaudri,
(iii) Mani Sahani @ Manish Kumar, age about 20 years, S/o Adya Sahani,
(iv) Sonalal Sahni, age about 41 years, S/o Shri Sahani,
(v) Solakh Sahani, age about 56 years, S/o Shri Sahani
All R/o village- Godaghat Jaysinghpur, P.S. Turkaulia ,Dist- East Champaran.
.....Petitioners/ Applicants

Present:
 Ld. Counsel for the petitioner. Sri Ramdhani Singh.
 Ld. A.P.P. for state/O.P. Sri Ishwar Chand Dubey.

1. This application for grant of anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners namely (i) Nitish Sahani @ Nitish Kumar, (ii) Anil Sahani @ Anil Kumar Chaudhary, (iii) Mani Sahani @ Manish Kumar, (iv) Sonal Sahni, (v) Solakh Sahani who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Turkaulia P.S. Case No. 81/26 registered U/s 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2) 118(1), 109, 324(4), 352, 351(2) of B.N.S.
2. Heard the Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner as well as Ld. A.P.P. on behalf of the prosecution, on the anticipatory bail petition under consideration.
3. As per the FIR, the prosecution case in brief, is that informant namely Manilal Sahni, S/o Sajawal Sahni, on 11.02.2026 at around 05:30 PM he was sit at his doorstep meanwhile, all F.I.R. named persons and 3-4 unknown persons arrived there armed with lathi and danda and Ranjan Sahni ordered them to beat the informant. Then the informant opposed them after that Ranjan Sahni fired Desi Katta upon the informant to kill but he skipped, then they hit with the Desi Katta upon his head and after that all of them committed marpit with them. Then Munilal Sahni, Rahul Sahni and Chandani Devi came to rescue them then they also attacked and injured them. The real fact is that Ranjan Sahni hit and killed informant's goat with his car and the dispute arose between them.
4. Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioners submits that no other bail petition of any nature has ever been filed either in this Ld.Court or before hon'ble high court Patna. He further submits that the petitioners have no criminal history and all the allegation levelled against them is false and concocted. He further submits that both the parties are next door neighbour and some dispute existence between them and no such types of occurrence placed between them.
5. Ld. A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the state as opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioners accused on the basis of the material available in the case diary. Further, Ld. A.P.P. has submitted that all the witnesses given statement u/s 180 of B.N.S.S in paras 3, 5, 6 of case diary have fully supported the allegation

In The Court of Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII,**East Champaran, Motihari****A.B.P. No. 1798/2026****(Arising out of Turkaulia P.S. Case No. 81/26)****U/s 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 324(4), 352, 351(2) of B.N.S.**

Present:- Swami Nandan Prasad Singh
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII
East Champaran, Motihari.

(i) Nitish Sahani @ Nitish Kumar, age about 21 years, S/o Pannala Sahni,
(ii) Anil Sahani @ Anil Kumar Chaudhary, age about 37 years, S/o Shri Sahani
@ Shri Chaudri,
(iii) Mani Sahani @ Manish Kumar, age about 20 years, S/o Adya Sahani,
(iv) Sonalal Sahni, age about 41 years, S/o Shri Sahani,
(v) Solakh Sahani, age about 56 years, S/o Shri Sahani
All R/o village- Godaghat Jaysinghpur, P.S. Turkaulia ,Dist- East Champaran.
.....Petitioners/ Applicants

State of Bihar**Versus****.....Opposite Party****Date of order 05.05.2026**

of prosecution. He further submits that as per para 35 of the case diary injury report of injured persons is available with case records. He further submits as per para 14 of the case diary that supervisory authority has found this case true against the petitioners. He further submits as per para 35 there is no criminal antecedent is pending against the petitioners.

6. Heard both sides & perused the case record. I have perused the materials available on record. The facts mentioned in the case diary submitted by the Ld. A.P.P. are examined it is the evident that the petitioners are named in the F.I.R., there is a direct allegation against them regarding the alleged offence and all the witnesses given statement u/s 180 of B.N.S.S. in paras 3, 5, 6 of case diary have fully supported the allegation of prosecution. Further as per para 35 of the case diary it appears that injury report is available upon case record. Further as per para 14 of the case diary it appears that supervisory authority has found this case true against the petitioners and this matter is still pending for investigation.

7. In the facts of the case & keeping in view the nature of allegation, gravity of offence and materials available in case record, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to these petitioner. Accordingly, the prayers for anticipatory bail of the petitioners namely (i) Nitish Sahani @ Nitish Kumar, (ii) Anil Sahani @ Anil Kumar Chaudhary, (iii) Mani Sahani @ Manish Kumar, (iv) Sonalal Sahni, (v) Solakh Sahani are hereby, **rejected**.

Dictated**Sd/-**

Dist.&Addl. Sessions Judge-8th
Motihari, East Champaran.