

In the Court of Sessions Judge, East Champaran at Motihari.

Present:

**Abhishek K. Das,
Sessions Judge,
East Champaran at Motihari**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1390 of 2026

1. **Vandana Kumari @ Vandana Devi, D/o- Arjun Paswan, aged about 31 years**
Resident of village- Khalwapatti, P.S.- Dhanaha, P.O.- Kahar, District- West Champaran

----- **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

----- **Opposite party.**

Counsel for the Petitioner :- Sri Rajeev Kumar Diwedi, Advocate.

Counsel for the State :- Sri Khublal Prasad, Public Prosecutor.

04-04-2026

This anticipatory bail petition is filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner-accused Vandana Kumari @ Vandana Devi, who is apprehending her arrest in connection with Ramgarhwa P.S. Case No.44 of 2026 for the offences u/s 103(1), 61(2), 303(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant's son Jitendra Kumar was posted as Branch Manager at Bihar Gramin Bank, Raghunathpur Bajar Brach and he resided there with his wife/petitioner Vandana Kumari and children. On 19-01-2026 the informant made telephonic call to Jitendra Kumar and showed his willingness to meet him, to which he replied that his in-laws family members have come there and he asked the informant to meet him after one week. On 22-01-2026, the informant received information that his son Jitendra Kumar has died and his dead-body has taken to Ramgarhwa P.S. The further case is that petitioner and his family members used to demand the earning of his son and they have also given life threat to him. The informant claims that petitioner and others have committed murder of his son.

In para-2 of the anticipatory bail petition, it is mentioned that no other regular or anticipatory bail petition has ever been filed either in this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. In para-3 of the

anticipatory bail petition, it is mentioned that petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

The learned counsel for the petitioner-accused has submitted that the petitioner-accused is innocent and she has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner happens to be wife of deceased. The deceased Jitendra Kumar had solemnized love marriage with the petitioner and due to this reason, the informant and his family members were not happy with the marriage and they used to torture the deceased. The fact is that deceased has committed suicide, due to the behaviour of informant and only with a view to grab the property and other ex gratia benefit of deceased, the informant has filed this false case.

The learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner-accused on the basis of materials available in the case diary.

Heard both sides and perused the certified copy of F.I.R., case diary. As per F.I.R., there is allegation that petitioner is wife of deceased son of informant and she along with others have committed murder of son of informant. The informant in his re-statement vide para-6 and witnesses vide para-9, 10 and 11 of the case diary have corroborated the version of F.I.R. As per post-mortem report mentioned in para-45 of the case diary, no external injury was found on dead-body and opinion of cause of death is reserved and viscera preserved. As per para-48 of the case diary, petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Considering all these facts and keeping in view the nature of allegation and materials available in the case diary, I am not inclined to give privilege of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner-accused **Vandana Kumari @ Vandana Devi** stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

**Sessions Judge
East Champaran at Motihari
04-04-2026**