

In the court of Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge-19th East Champaran, Motihari

Present:- Rajvijay Singh

A.B.P No. 1388/2026

(Pipra P.S. Case No. 68/2026)

Umesh Ray & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

Dated 06.04.2026

01. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of (1) Umesh Ray, S/O- Vinda Ray, aged about 39 years (2) Sunesh Rai @ Sunesh Yadav, S/O- Vinda Rai, aged about 30 years (3) Binda Ray, S/O- Late Ramayan Ray, aged about 71 years & (4) Sundarmukhi Devi, W/O- Umesh Rai, aged about 38 years, All are R/O- Maharani, P.S.- Pipra, Distt- East Champaran, in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 68/2026 under sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 329(4), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

02. The petitioners apprehend arrest in connection with the above-mentioned case on the allegations of assaulting the informant and her daughter, outraging the modesty of daughter of the informant and also taking away golden chain and other ornaments and cash of Rs. 45,000/- from the informant.

03. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence as alleged and have falsely been implicated in this case. Further stated that no other regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the accused petitioners either in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. Further stated that the petitioners have got no criminal antecedents. Further stated that the allegations, whatsoever, levelled against the petitioners are out and out false and concocted. Further stated that the F.I.R. has been lodged after delay of eight days. Further stated that no such occurrence took place. Further stated that nobody has received any injury, if any injury which is manipulated and manufactured. Lastly it has been stated that the petitioners are ready to give sureties for the satisfaction of this court.

04. Learned Addl. Public Prosecutor & Ld. counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer of bail and prayed for rejection of prayer of bail of petitioners.

05. Heard Ld. Counsel for the petitioners, Ld. counsel for the informant, Ld. A.P.P. for the state and perused the record.

06. From perusal of the record, it shows that two persons were injured in the assault and one of the injured persons has sustained injury over head and stiched wound of 7 cm was there over head of one of the injured. The allegations against the petitioners no. 1 to 3 are serious in nature and they are stated to have been involved in the alleged assault. In these circumstances, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners no. 1 to 3 namely Umesh Ray, Sunesh Ray @ Sunesh Yadav, Binda Ray and hence their

In the court of Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge-19th East Champaran, Motihari

Present:- Rajvijay Singh

A.B.P No. 1388/2026

(Pipra P.S. Case No. 68/2026)

Umesh Ray & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

Dated 06.04.2026

prayer for anticipatory bail is being rejected.

As far as rest of the petitioner no. 4 namely Sundarmukhi Devi is concerned, there is no such allegation against her so as to deny her the relief of anticipatory bail. In these circumstances and considering the fact that the petitioner no.4 is female, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner no. 4 namely Sundarmukhi Devi.

07. Accordingly, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that petitioner no. 4 namely Sundarmukhi Devi, on surrender before the court within four weeks from the date of order/ or at the time of arrest shall be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S. to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court below.

Dictated

Sd/-

Distt. & Additional Sessions Judge-19th
Motihari