

**In The Court of Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII,****East Champaran, Motihari****B.P. No.678/2026****(Arising out of Town P.S. Case No.935/22 )****U/s- 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of I.P.C.**

**Present:- Swami Nandan Prasad Singh**  
**Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII**  
**East Champaran, Motihari.**

**Anil Kumar Singh, age about 64 years, S/o Late Nandlal Singh, R/o village-Ajgari, P.S. Banjaria, Dist-East Champaran.**

.....Petitioner/ Applicant

**State of Bihar**                      **Versus**  
**Date of order 13.03.2026**

.....Opposite Party

Present:

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner -Sri Rajbalam Singh.

Ld. A.P.P. for state/O.P.-Sri Ishwar Chand Dubey.

Ld. Counsel for informant – Sri Manish Jha

**ORDER**

1. An application for grant of regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Anil Kumar Singh, age about 64 years, S/o Late Nandlal Singh, R/o village-Ajgari, P.S. Banjaria, Dist-East Champaran. In connection with Town P.S. Case No.935/22, registered U/s- 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of I.P.C.
2. Heard the Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner as well as Ld.A.P.P. & Ld.Counsel of informant on behalf of the prosecution on regular bail petition under consideration.
3. As per the FIR, the prosecution case in brief, is that informant namely Anand Kumar, son of Late Nandlal Singh, resident of village Ajgari, police station Banjariya, district East Champaran, works as a journalist. On 20.11.2022, at around 8 am, during a conversation, his brother Anil Kumar Singh became furious and angry and said to him that he had sold your share of land in account no.366, Khesar no. 699, situated in Mauja Ajgari, to a powerful person. He would ruin him and in the same way, he would usurp all the land in his share. Do whatever he want to do, He is not afraid of anyone. Hearing this, He got very worried and went to the registration office in Motihari and got a search done. He came to know that on 15.11.2022, his brother Anil Kumar Singh had sold his share of land in the name of two persons through two different documents. Thereafter, he applied in the office to get the true copy and on date On 22.11.2022, the true copy was received, on studying which it was found that 1. Anil Kumar Singh (seller) S/o late Nandlal Singh village Ajgari police station Banjaria district East Champaran had registered two documents under fake wada, in the first document number 17355, 2. Pramila Devi (buyer) wife of Santosh Kumar village Ajgari Churi Harwa Tola police station Banjaria 3. Basudev Sah (witness) father Mohan Sah village Panditpur police station Piprakothi and in the second document number 17357 Basudev Sah (buyer) 4. Om Prakash Kumar (witness) S/o Anil Kumar Singh village Ajgari police station Banjaria 5. Sunil Kumar (identifier in both the documents) S/o Nathuni Sah village Ajgari Churi Harwa Tola police station Banjaria district East Champaran, by conspiring, cheating and forgery, with the intention of obtaining illegal benefits, had

**In The Court of Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII,****East Champaran, Motihari****B.P. No.678/2026****(Arising out of Town P.S. Case No.935/22 )****U/s- 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of I.P.C.**

**Present:- Swami Nandan Prasad Singh**  
**Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII**  
**East Champaran, Motihari.**

**Anil Kumar Singh, age about 64 years, S/o Late Nandlal Singh, R/o village-  
Ajjari, P.S. Banjaria, Dist-East Champaran.**

.....Petitioner/ Applicant

**State of Bihar**

**Versus**

.....Opposite Party

**Date of order 13.03.2026**

unanimously bought and sold the valuable land of his (applicant) share without ownership. Which is not acceptable from the judicial and social point of view and this type of act is a serious crime and in the course of fraud, in place of the correct Jamabandi number 1165 in the name of his father, a wrong Jamabandi number 216 of some other persons had been registered which appears that all the above mentioned persons are active members of the fraud.

4. Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner submits that vide A.B.P. No. 5173/25 has ever been filed before the sessions court which was rejected by D.A.J.0 8<sup>th</sup>, Motihari, then bail petition has also filed before hon'ble High Court, Patna vide Crim. Misc. No. 13455/26 which has withdrawn. He further submits that petitioner has no criminal history and the petitioner is in custody since 25.02.2026. He further submits that petitioner sold his own land so there is no any furdgery in both said Dasatabej and said land has already been mutation and lagan has also been paid by buyers Pramila Devi and Basudev Sah. He further submits that the order has been also passed in favour of both buyer by Additional Collector, Motihari which land was sold by petitioner. He further submits that this case has been compromised between the parties.

5. Ld. A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the state has opposed the prayer for regular bail of petitioner on the basis of the material available in the case diary. Ld.Addl.P.P further submits that compromised has been made between both the parites in presence of their family well wishers.

6. Ld.Counsel of informant appeared today and he submits that in this case amicably the matter has been settled between both the parties in presence of their family well-wishers and they have no any grievance to each other and they do not want to further proceed with this case.

7. Heard the Ld.Lawyers of the respective parties and perused the case record From perusal of the case record it appears that the present case has been filed for the offences u/s 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of I.P.C. Further from the perusal of entire case record it also appears that both the parties are amicably settled their dispute outside of the court in presence of their family wishers and further it also appears that informant has no any grievances against petitioner and he has made a compromised out side of the court in presence of their family well wishers so, he does not want to further proceed with this case and compromised petition and permission petition is available with this case record. Today informant is physically appeared before the court and he orally submitted that he has no any grievance against this petitioner regarding alleged offence and he does not want to further proceed

**In The Court of Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII,**

**East Champaran, Motihari**

**B.P. No.678/2026**

**(Arising out of Town P.S. Case No.935/22 )**

**U/s- 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of I.P.C.**

**Present:- Swami Nandan Prasad Singh  
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII  
East Champaran, Motihari.**

**Anil Kumar Singh, age about 64 years, S/o Late Nandlal Singh, R/o village-  
Ajjari, P.S. Banjaria, Dist-East Champaran.**

**.....Petitioner/ Applicant**

**State of Bihar                      Versus  
Date of order 13.03.2026**

**.....Opposite Party**

with this case. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 25.02.2026.

8. Considering the entire materials on record and the period of custody of the petitioner, the bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Anil Kumar Singh** is hereby, **allowed**. Accordingly, it is ordered that the petitioner to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of rupees ten thousand (10,000/-) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court Concerned Motihari, East Champaran with subject to following conditions:- (i) petitioner shall not commit similar offence in future, (ii) petitioner shall fully cooperate in the investigation of the case, (iii) Petitioner shall not induce, threat or promise to any witness acquainted with the facts and circumstances of the case, (iv) Petitioner shall fully cooperate in trial of the case and shall appear before the court on each and every date fix till framing of charge.

**Dictated**

**Sd/-**

**Dist.&Addl. Sessions Judge-8th  
Motihari, East Champaran.**