
In the Court of Sessions Judge, East Champaran at Motihari.

Present:-

Abhishek K. Das
Sessions Judge,
East Champaran at Motihari

Bail Petition No. 676 of 2026

- 1. Dharmendra Kumar @ Dharmendra Sahani, aged about 25 years, S/o- Ramakant Sahani.**
 - 2. Lakhindra Kumar, aged about 22 years, S/o- Ramakant Sahani.**
 - 3. Ramesh Sahani, aged about 32 years, S/o Wakil Sahani.**
- All resident of village- Tikuliya Dhab Tola, P.S. Muffasil, District- East Champaran.

----- **Petitioners.**

Versus

The State of Bihar ----- **Opposite party.**

Counsel for the Petitioners :- **Sri Ramdhani Singh, Advocate.**
Counsel for the State :- **Sri Khublal Prasad, P.P.**

01.04.2026

This bail petition is filed on behalf of the petitioners, namely, (1) Dharmendra Kumar @ Dharmendra Sahani (2) Lakhindra Kumar, & (3) Ramesh Sahani who are in judicial custody since 27.01.2026 in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 50 of 2026 u/ss 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 103(1), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter B.N.S.).

In para-2 of this bail petition, it is mentioned that no other regular or anticipatory bail petition has ever been filed earlier either in this Ld. court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. In para-3 of the bail petition, it is mentioned that petitioners have no criminal antecedent and in para-4, it is mentioned that the petitioners are in judicial custody since 27.01.2026.

The prosecution case, in brief, as per written application of the informant Sikandar Sahani, is that on 26.01.2026 at about 06:30 A.M., all the persons named in the F.I.R. including the petitioners, formed an illegal gathering, armed with weapon came to his door and started abusing. His father Sukhari Sahani objected. On this, Ramakant Sahani incited the group to kill the informant's father. Then, Ram Ekbal Sahani & Raj Kumar Sahani attacked informant's father by an iron rod on his head due to which he fell down then all other co-accused persons also started attacking him with *Lathi-danda & Mungari*. Further Vilas Sahani attacked Vijay Sahani with *Tanguli* causing him head injury. Ramakant Sahani attacked Arvind Kumar by an iron rod causing him head injury. Lal Babu

Sahani attacked Rahul Kumar with *Lathi* causing him head injury. Ram Pravesh Sahani attacked Rishi Kumar by an iron rod causing him head injury. Mithun Kumar attacked Satyadev Kumar by an iron rod causing him head injury. Dharmendra Kumar (petitioner) attacked and injured Saheb Kumar. Consequently, the informant's father died on the spot. The incidents stem from a dispute two days ago regarding irrigation of wheat.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent, they have committed no offence, as alleged, and have falsely been implicated in this case due to old grudge, land dispute and dirty village party politics. The petitioners have no concern with the alleged occurrence.

The learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State has opposed the prayer for bail on the basis of materials available in the case diary.

Heard both sides and perused the record. From perusal of record, it transpires that the petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with the allegation that these petitioners and other co-accused persons attacked informant's father and his family members with weapons, as a result, informant's father Sukhari Sahani died on the spot and his other family members were also got seriously injured. In Para-02 of the case diary, Inquest Report of Sukhari Sahani is mentioned. As Para-43 of the case diary containing Post-Mortem Report, doctor has found external injury on deceased's body and cause of death as haemorrhage and shock due to injury caused by hard and blunt substances. In Para-78, 79, 80 & 81 of the case diary medical report of other victims is present. As per para-4, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 17 of the case diary, informant-cum-injured and other injured witnesses including the independent witness have supported the prosecution case. As per para-3 of the bail petition and para-84 of the case diary, the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. The investigation of this case is still going on.

In the facts of the case as discussed above and keeping in view the nature of allegation and gravity of offence as well as materials available in the case diary, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of petitioners, namely, **(1) Dharmendra Kumar @ Dharmendra Sahani (2) Lakhindra Kumar, & (3) Ramesh Sahani** stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

sd/-

Sessions Judge
East Champaran at Motihari
01.04.2026