

**In The Court of Additional Sessions Judge-IV, East Champaran at Motihari**

**Present :** Raghawendra Narayan Singh  
Addl. Sessions Judge-IV,  
East Champaran at Motihari

**Bail Petition– 667/2026**

**Arising out of Ghorasahan P.S. Case No.- 235/2026**

**Dhanoj Rai @ Dhanoj Prasad.....Petitioner**

**vs.**

**State of Bihar.....Opposite Party**

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner : Sri Rajeev Kumar Diwedi, Advocate  
Ld. Counsel for the state : Sri. Digvijay Narayan Sinha , A.P.P.

**28.03.2026**

**ORDER**

1. The instant bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner/accused namely Dhanoj Rai @ Dhanoj Prasad, who is in custody since 24.02.2026 in connection with Ghorasahan P.S. case No. 235/2026, u/s-341, 323, 307, 447, 504, 506, 34 of the I.P.C has been pressed today.
2. As per F.I.R the allegation against the accused petitioner and others is that of abusing and assaulting the informant as well as her husband by various weapons due to which they sustained injuries.
3. Heard rival submissions of both sides.
4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner/accused has submitted that earlier A.B.P No. 4403/2024 and Cri. Misc. No. 18120/25 were preferred on behalf of the petitioner before this court and Hon'ble High Court Patna respectively which were rejected since then no other anticipatory or regular bail application has ever been filed either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court Patna on behalf of the petitioner. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. The petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely and wrongly been implicated in this case malafidely. The allegation whatsoever leveled against the petitioner is false and concocted. The petitioner is in custody since 24.02.2026.
5. Ld. A.P.P. Sri Digvijay Narayan Sinha on behalf of state has opposed the bail prayer of petitioner.

**In The Court of Additional Sessions Judge-IV, East Champaran at Motihari**

6. Having gone through the submissions of both parties and on perusal of case record including the case diary I find that the petitioner is named in the FIR and the allegation against the petitioner is that he along with others assaulted the informant as well as her husband by various weapons due to which they sustained injuries. In the instant case charge sheet has been submitted by investigating officer after conclusion of evidence. Hence, there is no need of custodial interrogation from the petitioner. Both the parties have compromised the case and to this a compromise has been filed. The informant was physically appeared before the court and she also supports the factum of compromise and regarding this an undertaking has been filed in which she states that injuries sustained by them are not serious. The petitioner is in custody since 24.02.2026. This court is of view that no useful purpose shall be served by keeping the present petitioner behind the bar.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody the petitioner is allowed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each, subject condition that **one of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioner and petitioner will file an undertaking that after release, he will not indulge himself in similar type of offence, he will co-operate in trial and not to threaten and induce any witnesses or tamper with evidence.**

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned court below for information and needful.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Raghawendra Narayan Singh)  
Addl. Sessions Judge-IV,  
East Champaran at Motihari.