

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1,
EAST CHAMPARAN, MOTIHARI.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1320 of 2026

1. Ram Vinay Prasad Yadav, s/o- Jugrup Yadav,
 2. Jagesh Prasad Yadav, s/o- Vira Rai,
 3. Awdhesh Rai, s/o- Late Diplal Rai
- Resident of vill – Jagiraha, P.S. Turkauliya, District- East Champaran.

----- **Petitioners**

VERSUS

State of Bihar -----**Opp. Party.**

Appearance: -

Counsel for the accused : - Sri Chandraloki Kumar Mishra, Ld. Advocate.
Counsel for the State/O.P. : - Sri Khublal Prasad, Ld. P.P.

Date of Order: 08.04.2026

ORDER

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Turkauliya P.S Case No. 456 of 2025, U/s- 103(1), 123, 61(2) of B.N.S.

Heard, the Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners and Ld. P.P. on behalf of State/O.P.

The prosecution case, in brief, as per the written application of the informant that on 14.09.2025 about 3:30 PM, he got informant that his sister Musmat bhikhain Devi has been murdered by all the accused persons forcibly administering a poison. Upon receiving the information, he along with his friends reached there and found that his sister was lying dead. Thereafter he called the police. Police came to send the dead body for postmortem. This murder has been caused for land. The petitioners wants to acquire her land.

Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of accused petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case. No other regular or anticipatory bail petition has ever been filed on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or any superior court. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Petitioner are not named in F.I.R. nor they were arrested at the spot and no any incriminating article was recovered from their possession. Petitioners are ready to abide all the terms and conditions imposed by the court.

On other hand, Ld. Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of State opposed the

prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides and perused the record. From the perusal of record it appears that the allegation against the petitioners that they along with co-accused have committed murder of deceased by poisoning her. The name of the petitioners surfaced in this case by the police during the course of investigation in which police has found the case true against these petitioners along with co-accused persons as mentioned in para 22 of the case diary. The police has found that the petitioners are also responsible for the said offence. The witnesses examined during the course of investigation have also supported the case of prosecution. Postmortem report of deceased is attached with the case diary. From the perusal of the same it appears that the viscera of the deceased has been preserved as the doctor has opined that the cause of death could not be ascertain and the viscera has been preserved for its chemical examination. F.S.L report has not been received as yet. The allegation brought against the petitioner is serious in nature. Earlier A.B.P. No. 6172/2025 filed on behalf of co-accused was rejected by this court..

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and nature and gravity of the offence and materials available in the case diary as well as postmortem report of the deceased as mentioned in the case diary, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the above named accused petitioners and accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Surendra Prasad)
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-1,
Motihari, East Champaran.
Dated :08.04.2026