
In the Court of Sessions Judge, East Champaran at Motihari.

Present:-

**Abhishek K. Das
Sessions Judge,
East Champaran at Motihari**

Bail Petition No. 643 of 2026

- 1. Munna Sah, aged about 22 years, S/o- Brahmdev Sah.**
 - 2. Sandip Kumar @ Jhulan Sah, aged about 26 years, S/o- Dhanesh Sah.**
 - 3. Sant Sah, aged about 25 years, S/o- Motilal Sah.**
 - 4. Lahavar Sahani, aged about 28 years, S/o- Laxman Sahani.**
 - 5. Shatrudhan Kumar, aged about 21 years, S/o- Nandu Sah.**
- All R/o village- Ramgarhwa Malahi Tola, P.S. Ramgarhwa, District- East Champaran.

-----**Petitioners.**

Versus

The State of Bihar

-----**Opposite party.**

**Counsel for the Petitioners :- Sri Rajiv Kumar Thakur, Advocate.
Counsel for the State :- Sri Khublal Prasad, Public Prosecutor.**

17.03.2026

A petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners stating therein that due to typing mistake custody date of the petitioners has been typed as 11.02.2026 in place of 12.02.2026 in the bail petition and seek permission to correct the same in the bail petition.

Permission is accorded. Accordingly, Office is directed to correct the custody date of the petitioners in the bail petition, as prayed for.

This bail petition is filed on behalf of the petitioners, namely, (1) Munna Sah, (2) Sandip Kumar @ Jhulan Sah, (3) Sant Sah, (4) Lahavar Sahani and (5) Shatrudhan Kumar, who are in judicial custody since 12.02.2026 in connection with Ramgarhwa P.S. Case No. 72 of 2026 u/ss 191(2), 191(3), 190, 195, 222, 285, 109(1), 324(4), 132 and 121(1) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

In para-2 of the bail petition, it is mentioned that no other regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners either in this court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. As per para-3 of the bail petition, the petitioners has no criminal antecedent, except the petitioner no. (4) against him Mahuawa P.S. Case No. 14/2024 under Excise Act was lodged. As per para-4 of the bail petition, the petitioners are in judicial custody 12.02.2026.

As per F.I.R., a scuffle took between police driver/Sepoy Vishal Kumar and fish vendors at Machhali Hatta near Ramgarhwa Bazar, upon which unruly mob led by local individual named Manoj Kumar Pandey began raising ruckus and blocked the road.

When police personnel attempted to intervene in the matter, the unruly mob attacked the police party by pelting stones and bricks. Further a mob of 100-150 people, led by Manoj Pandey, set fire on that very place and blocked the State Highway. When the police tried to pacify the matter, the mob tried to vandalize the vehicles standing in the queue. The involvement of the petitioners and other co-accused were identified by the villagers and local Chowkidar.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have committed no offence. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioners. There is no any specific allegation against the petitioners.

The learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of State has opposed the prayer for bail on the basis of materials available in the case diary.

As per F.I.R. the petitioners and other co-accused persons blocked the road and raised anti-government slogans as the scuffle took place between police driver Vishal Kumar and fish sellers and when police party tried to disburse the mob from the road, the accused persons attacked them by pelting stones and bricks. As per para-33 of the case diary, containing injury report, Vishal Kumar had headache, bodyache and pain on right knee joint. As per para-2, 8, 9, 10, 11 of the case diary, the informant in his restatement and the witnesses have corroborated the version of F.I.R. and supported the prosecution case. As per para-36 of the case diary, all the petitioners have no criminal antecedent, but as per para-3 of the bail petition, the petitioner no.5 has one criminal antecedent under Excise Act. As per para-37 of the case diary, investigation is under progress.

In the facts of the case as discussed above and materials available on the record as well as on going status of investigation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of petitioners, namely, **(1) Munna Sah, (2) Sandip Kumar @ Jhulan Sah, (3) Sant Sah, (4) Lahavar Sahani and (5) Shatrudhan Kumar** stands **rejected**.

The petitioners may renew their prayer for bail after submission of charge-sheet, if so advised.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

**Sessions Judge
East Champaran at Motihari
17.03.2026**