

In The Court of Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII,

East Champaran, Motihari

A.B.P. No. 1272/2026

(Arising out of Pipra P.S. Case No. 70/26)

U/s- 126(2), 115(2), 118, 303(2), 76, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

**Present:- Swami Nandan Prasad Singh
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII
East Champaran, Motihari.**

- (i) Ramagya Thakur @ Ramagya Sharma, age about 68 years, S/o Late Dhanai Thakur,**
(ii) Chandeshwar Thakur @ Kameshwar Sharma, age about 62 years, S/o Late Danai Thakur,
(iii) Reeta Devi, age about 39 years, W/o Prem Thakur @ Prem Kumar Sharma,
(iv) Prince Kumar, age about 24 years, S/o Chandeshwar Thakur @ Kameshwar Sharma,
(v) Priyanka Kumari, age about 20 years, D/o Prem Thakur @ Prem Kumar Sharma,
(vi) Nisha Devi @ Sharma, age about 34 years, W/o Pawan Thakur @ Pawan Kumar
All resident of village Maharani, P.S. Pipra, Dist- East Champaran,

.....Petitioners / Applicants

State of Bihar

Versus

.....Opposite Party

Date of order 05.05.2026

Present:

Ld. Counsel of petitioners Sri Subhas Kumar Sharma.

Ld. A.P.P. for state/O.P. Sri Ishwar Chand Dubey

ORDER

1. An application for grant of anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners namely (i) Ramagya Thakur @ Ramagya Sharma, (ii) Chandeshwar Thakur @ Kameshwar Sharma, (iii) Reeta Devi, (iv) Prince Kumar, (v) Priyanka Kumari, (vi) Nisha Devi @ Sharma who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 70/26 registered U/s- 126(2), 115(2), 118, 303(2), 76, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.
2. Heard the Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioners as well as Ld. A.P.P on behalf of the prosecution, on the anticipatory bail petition under consideration.
3. As per the FIR, the prosecution case in brief, is that informant namely Sundarmukhi Devi, W/o Umesh Rai stated that her neighbour Ramagya Thakur used to harass her for making illegal relationship with her. On 10.02.2026 at 07:30 PM she was getting her dinner then he threw gutter water in front of her for which she opposed him, then all F.I.R. named persons came and attacked her due to which she got injured and also Prince Kumar torn her clothes. During that her husband came to rescue her then they also attacked him with knife and damaged his eye and Prince Kumar snatched his Hanumani chain and also snatched 21,000/- rupees from his pocket.

In The Court of Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII,**East Champaran, Motihari****A.B.P. No. 1272/2026****(Arising out of Pipra P.S. Case No. 70/26)****U/s- 126(2), 115(2), 118, 303(2), 76, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.**

Present:- Swami Nandan Prasad Singh
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII
East Champaran, Motihari.

- (i) Ramagya Thakur @ Ramagya Sharma, age about 68 years, S/o Late Dhanai Thakur,**
(ii) Chandeshwar Thakur @ Kameshwar Sharma, age about 62 years, S/o Late Danai Thakur,
(iii) Reeta Devi, age about 39 years, W/o Prem Thakur @ Prem Kumar Sharma,
(iv) Prince Kumar, age about 24 years, S/o Chandeshwar Thakur @ Kameshwar Sharma,
(v) Priyanka Kumari, age about 20 years, D/o Prem Thakur @ Prem Kumar Sharma,
(vi) Nisha Devi @ Sharma, age about 34 years, W/o Pawan Thakur @ Pawan Kumar
All resident of village Maharani, P.S. Pipra, Dist- East Champaran,

.....Petitioners / Applicants

State of Bihar

Versus

.....Opposite Party

Date of order 05.05.2026

4. Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioners submits that no other anticipatory or regular bail petition has been filed earlier either in this Ld.Court or before hon'ble high Court, patna. He further submits that the petitioners have no criminal history and the present case is a counterblast of Pipra P.S. Case No. 68/2026 which was lodged by the family members of the petitioners against the informant and the both cases arises out of the same incident. He further submits that the petitioners are ladies and apprehended their arrest and humilition at the hands of police.

5. Ld. A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the state as opposed the prayers for anticipatory bail of petitioners accused on the basis of the material available in the case diary. Further, Ld. A.P.P. has submitted that all the witnesses given statement u/s 180 of B.N.S.S in paras 2, 3 & 6 of case diary have fully supported the allegation of prosecution. He further submits that as per para 22 of the case diary injury report of injured persons is available with case records. He further submits as per para 12 of the case diary there is no criminal antecedent is pending against the petitioners.

6. Heard both sides & perused the case record. I have perused the materials available on record. The facts mentioned in the case diary submitted by the Ld. A.P.P. are examined it is the evident that the petitioners are named in the F.I.R., there are direct allegations against them regarding the alleged offence and all the witnesses given statement u/s 180 of B.N.S.S. in paras 2, 3 & 6 of case diary have fully supported the allegation of prosecution. Further as per para 22 of the case diary it appears that injury report is available upon case record and further this matter is still pending for

In The Court of Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII,

East Champaran, Motihari

A.B.P. No. 1272/2026

(Arising out of Pipra P.S. Case No. 70/26)

U/s- 126(2), 115(2), 118, 303(2), 76, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

**Present:- Swami Nandan Prasad Singh
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII
East Champaran, Motihari.**

- (i) Ramagya Thakur @ Ramagya Sharma, age about 68 years, S/o Late Dhanai Thakur,**
(ii) Chandeshwar Thakur @ Kameshwar Sharma, age about 62 years, S/o Late Danai Thakur,
(iii) Reeta Devi, age about 39 years, W/o Prem Thakur @ Prem Kumar Sharma,
(iv) Prince Kumar, age about 24 years, S/o Chandeshwar Thakur @ Kameshwar Sharma,
(v) Priyanka Kumari, age about 20 years, D/o Prem Thakur @ Prem Kumar Sharma,
(vi) Nisha Devi @ Sharma, age about 34 years, W/o Pawan Thakur @ Pawan Kumar
All resident of village Maharani, P.S. Pipra, Dist- East Champaran,

.....Petitioners / Applicants

State of Bihar

Versus

.....Opposite Party

Date of order 05.05.2026

investigation.

7. In the facts of the case & keeping in view the nature of allegation, gravity of offence and materials available in case record, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to these petitioners. Accordingly, the prayers for anticipatory bail of the petitioners namely **(i) Ramagya Thakur @ Ramagya Sharma, (ii) Chandeshwar Thakur @ Kameshwar Sharma, (iii) Reeta Devi, (iv) Prince Kumar, (v) Priyanka Kumari, (vi) Nisha Devi @ Sharma** are hereby, rejected.

Dictated

Sd/-

**Dist.&Addl. Sessions Judge-8th
Motihari, East Champaran.**