

In the Court of Sessions Judge, East Champaran at Motihari.

Present:-

**Abhishek K. Das,
Sessions Judge,
East Champaran at Motihari**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1222 of 2026

**1. Amit Kumar @ Amit Kumar Sah, S/o- Vinod Sah, aged about
18 years**

Resident of village- Bara Jayram, P.S.- Chiraiya, District- East
Champaran. ----- **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

----- **Opposite party.**

Counsel for the Petitioner

:- Sri Sujeet Kumar, Advocate.

Counsel for the State

:- Sri Khublal Prasad, Public Prosecutor

Counsel for the Informant

:- Sri Kameshwar Pd. Sinha, Advocate.

04-04-2026

This anticipatory bail petition is filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner-accused Amit Kumar @ Amit Kumar Sah, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Chiraiya P.S. Case No.26 of 2026 u/s 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and 27 Arms Act.

The prosecution case, in brief, is that in a marriage, petitioner Amit Kumar took a photograph of informant's niece and thereafter, he started showing her photo in village with bad intention. The informant repeatedly tried to convince the petitioner to not do the same and he also make complain before his parents. On 22-12-2025 at about 08:00 P.M. the informant was at the house of Brahmdeo Sah, meanwhile, petitioner and others came there and abused the informant and demanded Rs.10,000/- as *rangdari* and give life threat to him. Thereafter, petitioner Amit Kumar made firing on the informant, which was ward off by him. On commotion, nearby persons reached there, then accused persons fled away taking away the empty cartridges.

In para-2 of this anticipatory bail petition, it is mentioned that no other anticipatory or regular bail petition on behalf of the petitioner has been filed either in this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. In para-3 of the anticipatory bail petition, it is mentioned that petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

The learned counsel for the petitioner-accused has submitted that the petitioner-accused is innocent and he has committed no offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case due to previous enmity and dirty village politics. It is further submitted that earlier the petitioner side had filed Chiraiya P.S. Case No.578 of 2025 against the informant side and only with a view to save themselves, the informant side has filed this false case. Both the parties are co-villager and next-door neighbour and dispute is going between them since long.

The learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner-accused on the basis of materials available in the case diary.

Heard both sides and perused certified copy of F.I.R. as well as case diary. As per F.I.R., petitioner took photographs of informant's niece and then started showing her photographs in village with bad intention, when it was opposed by the informant, petitioner and others abused the informant and demanded Rs.10,00,000/- *rangdari* and he also made firing on the informant, but the same was missed. The informant in his re-statement vide para-2 and witnesses vide para-7, 8 and 9 of the case diary have corroborated the version of F.I.R. As per para-15 of the case diary, petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Considering all these facts and keeping in view the nature of allegation and materials available in the case diary, I do not find it a fit case for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner-accused **Amit Kumar @ Amit Kumar Sah** stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-
Sessions Judge
East Champaran at Motihari
04-04-2026