

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-5  
EAST CHAMPARAN, MOTIHARI.**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1215 of 2026**

1. Md. Shahjad @ Shahjad Ansari, S/o- Md. Meraj, resident of Village- Kuawan  
Ward No. 13, P.S.- Chakia, District- East Champaran. ....**Petitioner/s**

**Versus**

The State of Bihar

... ..**Opp. Party/s**

**Appearance :**

For the petitioner/s : Md. Sadre Alam, Id. Advocate.

For the Opp. Party/s : Sri Subhash Chandra Pd. Yadav, Ld. Addl.P.P.

---

---

**ORDER**

- 07-03-2026** 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Addl. P.P. appearing on behalf of the prosecution.
2. The petitioner above named seeks pre-arrest bail who apprehends his arrest in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No. 604 of 2025 registered under Sections 196, 299 of B.N.S.
3. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on last night at about 12.00 hour informant heard some noise on which he came out of his house and saw that some anti-social elements were pasting illegal posters (which had the picture of 6th December 1992 and Babri Masjid) on every Hindu houses with the intention of hurting the Hindu religion and creating rebellion in the society. There were about 35-40 people in this group from whom the informant identified the petitioner and others and on raised an alarm the people living nearby came out of their house and all the anti-social elements seeing them ran away.
4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that no other regular or anticipatory bail petition has ever been filed in this matter either before this Court or before the Hon'ble Court. He has no criminal antecedent. He is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case due to village party politics. Petitioner is handicap by birth of one leg and one hand and alleged sections are bailable except Section 196 of B.N.S. which is super addition to make the case non bailable.
5. On the other hand, Id. Addl.P.P. opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner.
6. Having heard both both sides and perusal of F.I.R. as well as documents available with record, it appears that the petitioner is named in the FIR and there is

allegation is that he along with other co-accused persons pasting poster over the house of Hindu community to create communal disturbances and rebellion in the society. In para 2 of the case diary seizure of photographs. In para 03 of the case diary, re-statement of informant is mentioned and witnesses at para no.04, 09, 10, 38, 39 have supported the case of prosecution. Moreover, investigation of the case is still going on.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as above, keeping in view the nature of allegation, gravity of offence and materials available in the case diary, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused-petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of accused-petitioner above named, stands rejected.

Let the copy of this order be sent to the learned court below.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rakesh Kumar Tiwari)  
District & Addl. Sessions Judge- 05,  
Motihari, East Champaran  
07-03-2026.