

In the Court of Sessions Judge, East Champaran at Motihari.

Present:-

**Abhishek K. Das
Sessions Judge,
East Champaran at Motihari**

Bail Petition No. 569 of 2026

- 1. Anil Ray, aged about 33 years, S/o- Arun Kumar Ray.**
- 2. Sabresh Kumar Ray, aged about 20 years, S/o- Arun Kumar Ray.**
Both R/o village- Madhopur, P.S. Devariya, District- Muzaffarpur.

-----Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar

-----Opposite party.

**Counsel for the Petitioners :- Sri Ranvijay Narayan Singh, Advocate.
Counsel for the State :- Sri Khublal Prasad, Public Prosecutor.**

18.03.2026

This bail petition is filed on behalf of the petitioners, namely, (1) Anil Ray and (2) Sabresh Kumar Ray, who are in judicial custody since 07.02.2026 in connection with Chiraiya P.S. Case No. 588 of 2025 u/ss 331(2) and 305 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

In para-2 of the bail petition, it is mentioned that no other regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners either in this court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. As per para-3 of the bail petition, the petitioners have eight criminal antecedents, including the present case, vide (1) Garkha P.S. Case No. 951/2025 u/s 303(2), 334(1) B.N.S., (2) Sonpur P.S. Case No. 31/2026 u/s 303(2), 303(1) B.N.S., (3) Bochaha P.S. Case No. 309/2025 u/s 303(2) B.N.S., (4) Panchrukhi P.S. Case No. 561/2025 u/s 303(2), 334(1) B.N.S., (5) Goroal P.S. Case No. 743/2025 u/s 334(1), 303(2), (6) Paru P.S. Case No. 02/2026 u/s 334(1), 303(2) B.N.S. and (7) Paru P.S. Case No. 08/2026 u/s 334(1), 303(2) B.N.S. As per para-4 of the bail petition, the petitioners are in judicial custody 07.02.2026.

As per F.I.R., the informant's shop and godown is situated between Mishrauliya Petrol Pump and Senwariya Bharat Petrol Pump, in which 1410 bag of rice was kept, out of which 948 bag, worth Rs. 6,80,308/-, were stolen by cutting the shutter of his godown. It is further alleged that on 23.12.2025, before the occurrence, a person

18.03.2026

Continued

namely, Pritam Chaudhary booked order of rice on mobile phone bearing no. 8521172676, but his mobile phone was switched off.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have committed no offence. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioners. The petitioners have no concerned with the alleged occurrence. On these basis, it is prayed to allow this bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of State has opposed the prayer for bail on the basis of materials available in the case diary.

Heard both sides and perused the record. From perusal of record, it transpires that the instant F.I.R. registered against unknown, but as per para-18 of the case diary, name of the petitioners surfaced in this case. Their confessional statements mentioned in para-18 and 20 of the case diary, recorded in Garkha P.S. Case No. 951/2025, in which they have confessed their involvement in the present case and have stated that they had sold the said stolen rice to Sonu Kumar. As per para-3 of the bail petition and para-21 of the case diary, the petitioners have seven criminal antecedents, except the present case. As per para-25 of the case diary, investigation is under progress.

In the facts of the case as discussed above and keeping in view nature of allegation, materials available in the case diary and many criminal antecedents of the petitioners as well as on going status of investigation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of petitioners, namely, **(1) Anil Ray** and **(2) Sabresh Kumar Ray** stands **rejected**.

The petitioners may renew their prayer for bail after framing of charge, if so advised.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Sessions Judge
East Champaran at Motihari
18.03.2026