

**In the Court of 6th District & Addl. Sessions Judge-Cum-Exclusive Special Judge,
POCSO Act, East Champaran, Motihari, Bihar.**

(Present:- Amlesh Kumar Singh)

A.B.P No. 531/2026
(Arising out of Piprakothi P.S Case No. 335/2025)

Meena Devi, W/o- Late Radhakant Pandey, aged about- 55 years,
R/o village- Mahamada, P.S- Piprakothi,
District- East Champaran..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.
For the Accused-petitioner:- Sri Satish Kumar Pandey, learned advocate.
For the State :- Sri Mani Kumar, Learned Special P.P.

Dated- 12.03.2026.

ORDER

1. This application has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail petition on behalf of the accused person namely Meena Devi in connection with Piprakothi P.S. Case no. 335/2025, registered under sections 126(2), 115(2), 76, 303(2), 3(5) of B.N.S., & Section- 8 of POCSO Act.

Heard arguments of Ld. Counsel, appearing for the accused petitioner & Ld. Spl. P.P. for the State.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner submits before the court that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged and has falsely been implicated in this case malafidely. No any other anticipatory or regular bail petition of any nature has been filed on behalf of petitioner, either in this Ld. Court or before any Superior Court. Petitioner has no any criminal antecedents. The allegation whatsoever levelled against the petitioner is out and out false and concocted. The fact is that petitioner dragged in this case because she is the mother of the co-accused Rupesh Kumar Pandey. Petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged occurrence. So, alleged sections are not attracted against her. Therefore, she may be released on anticipatory bail.

2. Ld. Spl. P.P. for the state, opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits before the court that the F.I.R has been lodged against the accused-petitioner for sexually abuse of the minor daughter of the informant. The allegations are quite serious and clearly against the society. Therefore, her anticipatory bail petition may be rejected.

3. Heard both the parties and perused the record, the court finds that the F.I.R has been lodged u/s- 126(2), 115(2), 76, 303(2), 3(5) of B.N.S., & Section- 8 of POCSO Act, against three accused-persons including present petitioner. It has been alleged by the informant that on 28.09.2025 at about 07:00 o' clock in the evening, his minor daughter was returning to home after shopping. On the way of returning co-accused Rupesh Kumar Pandey caught her and tried to sexually assault her by taking her to bushes and also threatened to kill her by hitting her private parts & breast with pistol butt. Victim managed to flee away anyway from the place of occurrence and told her family members about his incident. After that informant went to accused-persons house to ask about the

**In the Court of 6th District & Addl. Sessions Judge-Cum-Exclusive Special Judge,
POCSO Act, East Champaran, Motihari, Bihar.**

(Present:- Amlesh Kumar Singh)

A.B.P No. 531/2026
(Arising out of Piprakothi P.S Case No. 335/2025)

Meena Devi, W/o- Late Radhakant Pandey, aged about- 55 years,
R/o village- Mahamada, P.S- Piprakothi,
District- East Champaran..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.
For the Accused-petitioner:- Sri Satish Kumar Pandey, learned advocate.
For the State :- Sri Mani Kumar, Learned Special P.P.

Dated- 12.03.2026.

incident, then accused-persons including present petitioner abused and injured him after assaulting physically. Hence, this case was lodged. After further perusal of the evidences available on the record the court finds that the statement of the victim girl u/s- 183 of B.N.S.S., has been recorded. In her statement she has not stated anything adverse against present accused-petitioner. She has not even whispered the name of accused-petitioner in her statement. The petitioner has the only allegation of abusing and assaulting to the informant. The allegation of committing sexual assault is not direct against her.

Therefore, after considering the facts and evidences available on the record this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the accused-petitioner namely Meena Devi. She is directed to be released on anticipatory bail in case of her surrender or arrest before the present court, after furnishing of bail-bond of rupees 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each within four weeks from the date of passing of this order, with the further condition that one bailer will be her relative and she will co-operate the process of investigation .

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Amlesh Kumar Singh)
6th District & Addl. Sessions Judge
cum Exclusive Special Judge POCSO Act,
East Champaran, Motihari.