

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, East Champaran at Motihari.
Present:**

**Abhishek K. Das,
Sessions Judge,
East Champaran at Motihari**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.396 of 2026

1. **Savita Devi, W/o- Dinesh Yadav @ Arun Yadav, aged about 38 years**
Resident of village- Gadhawa Khajuriya (Talwar Pokhar), P.S.- Kotwa,
District- East Champaran

----- **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

----- **Opposite party.**

Counsel for the Petitioner :- Sri Dr. Adhir Kumar, Advocate.

Counsel for the State :- Sri Khublal Prasad, Public Prosecutor.

19-03-2026

This anticipatory bail petition is filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner-accused Savita Devi, who is apprehending her arrest in connection with Kotwa P.S. Case No.244 of 2025 u/s 103, 80(1), 89 and 90 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (hereinafter 'BNS').

The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the marriage of sister of informant, namely, Rani Kumari was solemnized with accused Jitendra Kumar in the year 2019. After the marriage, all the accused persons including the petitioner started demanding Scorpio vehicle from the sister of informant and due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, they perpetrated cruelty and torture with the sister of informant. In the year 2023, the sister of informant was blessed with a female child. Thereafter, the sister of informant again became pregnant, then accused persons by ultrasound test came to know that the womb fetus is female, they forcefully brought the sister of informant at quack doctor for abortion. Thereafter, when the sister of informant raised objection, all the accused persons committed her murder by pressing her neck and assaulting her with rod.

In para-2 of this anticipatory bail petition, it is mentioned that no other bail petition either anticipatory or regular have ever been filed earlier in this Court or before any Higher Court, Patna. In para-3 of the anticipatory bail petition, it is mentioned that petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

The learned counsel for the petitioner-accused has submitted that the petitioner-accused is innocent and she has committed no offence, as alleged and she has falsely been implicated in this case on mere suspicion. It is further submitted that only being *jethani* of deceased, she has been dragged in this case. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. The deceased was lean and thin lady and was at advance stage of pregnancy, so she was admitted to hospital, but in due course of delivery of child, she has died due to heavy discharge of blood. The naihar people of deceased had also participated the funeral work of deceased. The petitioner has not demanded any dowry from the deceased and she never committed cruelty with the deceased. On these basis, it is prayed to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner-accused on the basis of materials available in the case diary.

Heard both sides and perused the certified copy of F.I.R. as well as case diary. As per F.I.R., due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, the petitioner and other co-accused tried to abort the pregnancy of sister of informant with the help of quack doctor and when the sister of informant raised objection, they committed her murder by pressing her neck and assaulting her with rod. The informant in his re-statement vide para-4 and witnesses vide para-5 and 8 of the case diary have corroborated the version of F.I.R. In para-43 of the case diary post-mortem report of deceased is mentioned, where doctor has found that the Intestine was injured with multiple places, posterior aspect of uterus was lacerated at multiple places, some part of conception resent in uterus with injury to endometrium, uterus was bulky and cause of death is mentioned as Haemorrhage and shock due to perforation of uterus. As per para-56 of the case diary, petitioner has no criminal antecedent. As per para-60 of the case diary, investigation of the case is still going on.

Considering all these facts, as discussed above, I am not inclined to give privilege of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner-accused. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner-accused, namely, **Savita Devi** stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Sessions Judge
East Champaran at Motihari
19-03-2026