

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, East Champaran at Motihari.  
Present:**

**Abhishek K. Das,  
Sessions Judge,  
East Champaran at Motihari**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No.395 of 2026**

1. **Kamlesh Kumar @ Kamlesh Sah, S/o- Ramchandra Sah, aged about 36 years**  
Resident of village- Tetaria, P.S.- Rajepur, District- East Champaran  
----- **Petitioner**

**Versus**

**The State of Bihar** ----- **Opposite party.**

**Counsel for the Petitioner :- Sri Vishal Kumar, Advocate.**  
**Counsel for the State :- Sri Khublal Prasad, Public Prosecutor.**  
**Counsel for the Informant : Sri Vivekanand, Advocate.**

**18-03-2026**

This anticipatory bail petition is filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner-accused Kamlesh Kumar @ Kamlesh Sah, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Rajepur P.S. Case No.332 of 2025 u/s 140(1) and 103(1) of the B.N.S.

The prosecution case, in brief, is that the daughter of informant, namely, Rinku Shah used to work as dancer in the musical group of petitioner and she also resided with the petitioner. On 22-11-2025 the tried to contact on his daughter and petitioner on mobile, but same was found switched off. The informant claims that petitioner either sold his daughter or killed her.

**In para-2 of the anticipatory bail petition, it is mentioned that no other regular or anticipatory bail petition has ever been filed either in this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. In para-3 of the anticipatory bail petition, it is mentioned that petitioner has no criminal antecedent.**

The learned counsel for the petitioner-accused has submitted that the petitioner-accused is innocent and he has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that there is general and vague allegation against the petitioner. The daughter of informant was major and she left the musical group of petitioner without any reasonable excuse. The petitioner has no knowledge about the daughter of informant. On these basis, it is prayed to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner-accused on the basis of materials available in the case diary.

Heard both sides and perused the certified copy of F.I.R. and case diary. As per F.I.R., petitioner either sold the daughter of informant or killed her. The informant in his re-statement vide para-2 and witnesses vide para-6 and 7 of the case diary have corroborated the version of F.I.R. The daughter of informant is still traceless and as per para-54 of the case diary, investigation of the case is still going on. As per para-48 of the case diary, petitioner has one criminal antecedent u/s 304(B) and 201/34 of the I.P.C. The victim used to perform dance for the musical group of the petitioner for last eight years and she used to say with him.

Considering all these facts and keeping in view the nature of allegation and materials available in the case diary as well as fact that the daughter of informant is still traceless, I am not inclined to give privilege of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner-accused **Kamlesh Kumar @ Kamlesh Sah** stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Sessions Judge  
East Champaran at Motihari  
18-03-2026