

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1,
EAST CHAMPARAN, MOTIHARI.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 178 of 2026

1. Md. Yashin, s/o Abdul Satar
 2. Md. Faisal Akhtar alias Md. Fulail Akhtar
- Resident of Village- Balirampur, P.S. Purshotampur, District- West Champaran.

----- **Petitioners**

VERSUS

State of Bihar ----- **Opp. Party.**

Appearance: -

Counsel for the accused : - Sri Shailendra Kumar Jha, Ld. Advocate.
Counsel for the State/O.P. : -Sri Khublal Prasad, Ld. P.P.

Date of Order:26.03.2026

ORDER

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1536 of 2022, Tr. No. 554/2025, U/s- 406, 420, 468 of I.P.C.

Heard, the Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners and Ld. P.P. on behalf of State/O.P.

The complainant's case in brief as stated by the complainant is that, he complainant runs an organization, namely, Ayurvedic Medicare & Developments Institution, Motihari, East Champaran, which works for welfare of society and provide education, training and medical assistance to economic weaker minority children of residential Madarsa. Co-accused Md. Intyaj @ Md. Imteyaj works as Co-Ordinator in the organization of complainant and he was deputed to inspect and submit report regarding the operation of marked Anudanit Madarsa in Bihar. The further case is that petitioners have taken total Rs.5,08,00,845/- from the organization of complainant on the pretext that they runs permanent Madarsa, but the fact is that they did not run any permanent Madarsa. The complainant claims that all the accused persons in collusion with this petitioners have cheated the complainant and fraudulently grabbed Rs.5,08,00,845/- from the organization of complainant by creating forged documents.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have not committed the offence. Petitioners have not preferred any regular

or anticipatory bail petition before any superior Court. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent. All the allegation whatsoever levelled against the petitioner is false and concocted and the petitioner has nothing to do with alleged occurrence. Cognizance has been taken in this case under section 406, 420 and 468 of I.P.C. against the petitioner and co-accused. The fact is that complainant has cheated the petitioner and other several persons. Petitioners are ready to abide all the terms and conditions imposed by the court.

On other hand, Ld. Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides and perused the record. From perusal of record it appears that the allegation against the petitioners that they along with co-accused persons have taken total Rs.5,08,00,845/- from the organization of complainant on the pretext that they runs permanent Madarsa, but the fact is that they did not run any permanent Madarsa. The complainant claims that all the accused persons have cheated the complainant and fraudulently grabbed Rs.5,08,00,845/- from the organization of complainant by creating forged documents. The allegation against the petitioners is serious in nature. At this stage in my opinion it will not be proper to grant them the privilege of anticipatory bail. Anticipatory bail application of other co-accused has been rejected by this court.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and nature and gravity of the offence alleged, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the above named accused petitioners and accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Surendra Prasad)
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-1,
Motihari, East Champaran.
Dated: 26.03.2026