

IN THE COURT OF SUB-DIVISIONAL JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, BIRAUL, DARBHANGA.

Cr. Case Complaint (P).- 284/2010.

Brahamdev Yadav vs. Ram Chandra Mukhiya & Others.

Presiding Officer:- Sri Priyanshu Raj, Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Biraul.

Date of Judgment- 16.03.2026.

In the matter of:

Brahamdev YadavComplainant

Versus

1. Vishnu Kant Yadav, S/O- Late Panchu Yadav, Age-60,
Add-Vill-Gobarahi, P.S-K.Asthan, Dist-Darbhang.
2. Shiv Kant Yadav, S/O- Late Panchu Yadav, Age-48,
Add-Vill-Gobarahi, P.S-K.Asthan, Dist-Darbhang.
3. Amar Yadav, S/O- Vishun Deo Yadav, Age-52,
Add-Vill-Gobarahi, P.S-K.Asthan, Dist-Darbhang.
4. Birendra Yadav, S/O- Bindeshwari Yadav, Age-55,
Add-Vill-Gobarahi, P.S-K.Asthan, Dist-Darbhang.
5. Phulo Yadav, S/O- Late Damodar Yadav, Age-65,
Add-Vill-Gobarahi, P.S-K.Asthan, Dist-Darbhang.
6. Pramod Yadav, S/O- Phulo Yadav, Age-40,
Add-Vill-Gobarahi, P.S-K.Asthan, Dist-Darbhang.
7. Vinod Yadav, S/O- Phulo Yadav, Age-48,
Add-Vill-Gobarahi, P.S-K.Asthan, Dist-Darbhang.
8. Randhir Yadav, S/O- Phulo Yadav, Age-58,
Add-Vill-Gobarahi, P.S-K.Asthan, Dist-Darbhang.
9. Vijay Yadav, S/O- Chandrawali Yadav, Age-45,
Add- Bisuriya, P.S-K.Asthan, Dist- Darbhanga.
10. Bindeshwari Yadav, S/O- Late Saryug Yadav, Age-60,
Add-Vill-Gobarahi, P.S-K.Asthan, Dist-Darbhang.

.....Accused

Dated: 16.03.2025.

The accused persons are present along with his counsel.

Ld. Advocate is present for the Complainant.

The case is fixed for the statement u/s 313 of Crpc.

Perused the case record. From perusal it transpires that in the instant case the cognizance was taken for the offense u/s 147, 323, 341, of I.P.C against above named accused persons. The accusation was explained to the accused persons in the aforesaid sections on 26.08.2025. After that the complainant was directed to produce witnesses but the witnesses didn't turn up for giving evidence. Complainant has stopped parvi in this case from last many years. Ld. Counsel for the complainant was made seen record on 06-03-2026. Last chance was given to complainant but failed to bring evidence but even then, the complainant could not produce a single witness in this case. Finally, the court closed the complainant evidence on 16.03.2026 and the case was fixed for the statement of accused person u/s 313 of Cr.P.C today.

After going through the entire case record and considering the above narrated facts it is very much clear that despite giving sufficient opportunities to complainant to produce the evidence, the complainant completely failed to produce even a single witness.

Order

Heard the parties on the merit of the case. It is a case of no evidence. The guilt of accused persons couldn't be established in this case as no evidence was produced by complainant.

IN THE COURT OF SUB-DIVISIONAL JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, BIRAU, DARBHANGA.

Cr. Case Complaint (P).- 284/2010.

Brahamdev Yadav vs. Ram Chandra Mukhiya & Others.

Presiding Officer:- Sri Priyanshu Raj, Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Biraul.

Date of Judgment- 16.03.2026.

Accordingly, the accused person named above is hereby acquitted of all the charges. He and his sureties are discharged from the liabilities of their respective bail bonds.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court.

(PRIYANSHU RAJ)

Pending processes be recalled.

Record be consigned to the record room as per rules.

(PRIYANSHU RAJ)

Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate

Darbhangha at Biraul