

Court of Sessions Judge, Camp Court, Biraul(Darbhangha)

Present:- Sri Shiv Kumar,

I/c Sessions Judge, Camp Court, Biraul.

ABP No. 185/2026(Islam and others vs. State of Bihar)

Page No. 1 of 2

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Camp Court, Biraul, Darbhanga

ABP No. 185 of 2026

In the matter of :-

1. Islam son of Darshan Mansoori
2. Laila wife of Islam
3. Sanjira Wife of Israil
4. Asaraf Son of Islam

.....Petitioners.

Vs.

2. The State of BiharOpposite Party.

For the petitioner:- Sri Trilok Nath Jha, Ld. Advocate.

For the O.P.(State):- Sri Bachcha Rai, Ld. I/c PP.

Order

✓
05.05.2026 The present anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of abovenamed petitioners who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Ghanshyampur PS case no. 54 of 2024, for the offences U/Ss 498(A), 34 of IPC and 3/4 dP Act, which is pending in the court of SDJM, Biraul, Darbhanga.

Heard Sri Trilok Nath Jha the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Bachcha Rai Ld. Counsel for OP(State).

The FIR in the present case has been lodged on the basis of application of informant namely Jamila Khatoon. The case of the prosecution in brief is that the marriage of informant had been solemnized with one Jabbar on 30 July 2022. After marriage the accused persons including petitioners demanded Rs. 10 lakh as dowry and upon non fulfillment of the same they use to torture her mentally and physically.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners are quite innocent and they have not committed any offence. The petitioners have falsely been implicated in this false case. No such occurrence did ever take place as alleged in the FIR. The petitioners are in laws of the informant and they are separate in mess and business from the petitioner and

Court of Sessions Judge, Camp Court, Biraul(Darbhanga)

Present:- Sri Shiv Kumar,

I/c Sessions Judge, Camp Court, Biraul.

ABP No. 185/2026(Islam and others vs. State of Bihar)

Page No. 2 of 2

informant. Petitioner No. 1 is father in law, petitioner No. 2 is mother in law, Petitioner No. 3 is Gotani, petitioner No. 4 is brother in law(Bhaisur). The petitioners never demanded dowry from the informant. The petitioners do not have any criminal antecedents. The petitioners are ready to abide by all the conditions as imposed by the court. Therefore the present petition may be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. P.P appearing on behalf of the State opposed the prayer for bail.

Upon considering the rival submissions and on perusal of the materials available on record this court finds the petitioners are in laws of the informant. The allegations leveled against the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature. The petitioners do not have any criminal antecedents. Therefore considering the discussions made above, and the fact the petitioners are in laws of informant as well as other facts and circumstances of the case the present petition for anticipatory bail of above-mentioned petitioners is **allowed**. The petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in the event of their arrest by police or surrender before Ld. Court below within a period of three weeks from the receipt of copy of this order in connection with Ghanshyampur PS case No. 54/24 to the satisfaction of learned Court below subject to condition laid down u/s 482(2) of BNSS.

(Dictated)

(Shiv Kumar)

I/c Sessions Judge,
Camp Court, Biraul

05.05.2026