

Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraul(Darbhangha)

Present:- Sri Shiv Kumar,

Dist.&Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraul.

BP No. 147/2026(Satyam Bishwash alias Sonu Bishwash vs. State of Bihar)

Page No. 1 of 2

In the Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraul, Darbhanga

BP No. 147 of 2026

In the matter of :-

1. Satyam Bishwash alias Sonu Bishwash, Son of Madan Bishwash

.....Petitioners.

Vs.

2. The State of BiharOpposite Party.

For the petitioners:- Sri Ram Krishan Mandal, Ld. Advocate.

For the O.P.(State):- Sri Bachcha Rai, Ld. APP.

Order

23.06.2026 The present bail petition has been filed on behalf of abovenamed petitioner who is in judicial custody since 08.02.2026, in conneciton with Ghanshyampur PS case No. 44 of 2026, for the offences U/Ss 309(6), 317(2) of BNS, which is pending in the Court of Ld. SDJM, Biraul, Darbhanga.

Heard Sri Ram Krishan Mandal the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Bachcha Rai Ld. APP for State.

The FIR in the present case has been lodged on the basis of fardbeyan of informant namely Rahul Kumar Paswan. There is allegation upon petitioner that he in connivance with 2 other accused persons namely Nitish Kumar Jha and Kundan Kumar Jha surrounded informant and took away Rs. 2000/- and one of them assaulted him on his back.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and he has not committed any offence. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in this false case. No such occurrence did ever take place as alleged in the FIR. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in this false case on the basis of confessional statement. All

Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraul(Darbhanga)

Present:- Sri Shiv Kumar,

Dist.&Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraul.

BP No. 147/2026(Satyam Bishwash alias Sonu Bishwash vs. State of Bihar)

Page No. 2 of 2

the alleged offences u/s 309(6) and 317(2) of BNS is not made out against the petitioner. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 08.02.2026. The petitioner is ready to abide by all the conditions as imposed by the court. Therefore the present petition may be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. P.P appearing on behalf of the State opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that petitioner assaulted in the course of robbery. He further submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender, having criminal antecedents. The prayer for bail of co-accused namely Nitish Kumar alias Nitish Kumar Jha has been rejected by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Patna by its order dt. 21.05.2026 passed in Cri. Misc. No. 35290 of 2026. Therefore present petition should be dismissed.

Heard submissions made at bar and perused the case records of the present case. From perusal of the case records this Court finds that para 15 of the case diary mentions that during investigation police has recovered one steel knife from the waist of petitioner and two mobile phones. Para 18 of the case diary mentions statement of petitioner before police in which he has admitted his guilt. Para 35 of the case diary mentions that petitioner is also accused in as many as 6 other cases. Considering the discussions made above, nature of allegations, criminal antecedents of the petitioner as well as other facts and circumstances of the case this Court does not feel inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

(Shiv Kumar)

Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraul

23.06.2026