

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga, Camp Court, Biraul.
A.B.P. No. 173/2026

1. Sanjan Yadav son of Sikindar Yadav
 2. Sikindar Yadav son of late Rameshwar Yadav
 3. Draupadi Devi wife of Sikindar Yadav
 4. Ranjan Kumar @ Ranjan Yadav son of Sikindar Yadav

.....Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar..... Opposite Party.

For the petitioners: Sri Ram Bilash Yadav, Learned Advocate.

For the O.P.(State): Sri Gunjan Singh, Learned I/c Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

10-06-2026: Application for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners namely **Sanjan Yadav, Sikindar Yadav, Draupadi Devi and Ranjan Kumar @ Ranjan Yadav**, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Jamalpur PS Case No. 36 of 2026 for the offences U/Ss. 190, 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 303(2), 351(2), 352 of the BNS, pressed today.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The allegation is that on the alleged date of occurrence of 07.04.2026 while the informant was at her doors, meanwhile, 11 named accused persons in the First Information Report duly armed with weapon came and started abusing the informant and when it was protested, all the accused persons started assaulting the informant by fists, slaps, lathi, danda and meanwhile, accused Ranjan Yadav assaulted on the head of the informant's husband by iron rod which hit at his left hand fracturing the bone and when Dilip Yadav came to rescue, Chandan Yadav (petitioner) assaulted him by iron rod intending to hit at the head but eventually hit at the hand causing fracture and Sajjan Yadav assaulted Dilip Yadav by Dabiya causing injury on head and oozing out of blood and when the mother-in-law of the informant came to rescue, she was also assaulted and other accused persons also indulged in assault and accused Ranju Devi, Asha Devi, Lalita Devi committed theft of ear ring from the sister-in-law of the informant and the injured were carried to hospital from where they were referred to DMCH Darbhanga for further treatment, resulting into the institution of the present case.

4. It has been submitted that the accused-petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that the whole allegation made in the First Information Report is false and concocted


 Ajay Kumar Sharma,
 Principal District and Sessions Judge,
 Darbhanga

A.B.P. No. 173/2026

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga, Camp Court, Biraul.
A.B.P. No. 173/2026

rather, the actual state of affairs is that the present case is counter-blast of Jamalpur P.S Case No. 35 of 2025 filed by accused petitioner Ranjan Kumar Yadav against the informant and others and to save skin from the above case the present case has been instituted. The further submission is that even the allegation against the petitioner is accepted as a gospel truth, there is no application of section 109 of the BNS as such there is no allegation of reputation of blow alleged against him. Moreover the injury which has been made by this petitioner is alleged to have sustained on the non vital part of the body Hence, they may be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

5. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Heard and perused the case diary. Para no.2 of the case diary is the re- statement of the informant supporting the occurrence. Para no.5 of the case diary is the description of place of occurrence. Para no.7,8 of the case diary reflects the statement of witnesses supporting the occurrence. Para -62 of the case diary reflects clean antecedent of the petitioner. Para-68 reflects injury report of Dilip Yadav where one lacerated wound over frontal parietal region of head and laceration over left hand dorsum aspect along with pain on left hand at back, caused by hard and blunt substance has been reported. The injury report of Parwati Devi, Nand Kishore Yadav reflects swelling and contusion whereas the injury report of Rinku Kumar reflects laceration over left parietal region of head, simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. The petitioner no.3 Draupadi Devi is not alleged to have assaulted any of the injured and she is a lady.

7. Under the facts and circumstances of the present case, the Court is of the opinion to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner no. 3 Draupadi Devi, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner no. 3 Draupadi Devi stands **allowed**. Accordingly, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned court below within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, let the petitioner namely **Draupadi Devi** be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.15,000/- (Fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Biraul, Darbhanga subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita.

8. However, considering the injury report of injured, which is on vital part of body

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga, Camp Court, Biraul.
A.B.P. No. 173/2026

and some of the injury have been reported to be grievous in nature, the court does not deem it appropriate to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the accused petitioners namely Sanjan Yadav, Sikindar Yadav, Ranjan Kumar @ Ranjan Yadav, consequently the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners namely Sanjan Yadav, Sikindar Yadav, Ranjan Kumar @ Ranjan Yadav stands Rejected.

Dictated

Ajay Kumar Sharma

(Ajay Kumar Sharma)
Sessions Judge, Darbhanga,
Camp Court, Biraul
10-06-2026.

