

Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraul(Darbhanga)

Present:- Sri Shiv Kumar,

Dist.&Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraul.

BP No. 57/2026(Prabhu Yadav vs. State of Bihar)

Page No. 1 of 2

In the Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraul, Darbhanga

BP No. 57 of 2026

In the matter of :-

1. Prabhu Yadav, Son of Ram Briksh Yadav

.....Petitioners.

Vs.

2. The State of BiharOpposite Party.

For the petitioners:- Sri Ganga Sagar Paswan, Ld. Advocate.

For the O.P.(State):- Sri Bachcha Rai, Ld. APP.

Order

10.04.2026 The present bail petition has been filed on behalf of abovenamed petitioner who is in judicial custody since 28.01.2026, in conneciton with Tilkeswar PS case No. 62 of 2025, for the offences U/Ss 229 BNS and 25(1-b)a of Arms Act, which is pending in the Court of Smt. Ankita JMFC, Biraul, Darbhanga.

Heard Sri Ganga Sagar Paswan the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Bachcha Rai Ld. APP for State.

The FIR in the present case has been lodged on the basis of application of informant namely SI Ankit Chaudhary. The case of the prosecution in brief is that petitioner has lodged a case bearing Tilkeswar PS case No. 13/25 dt. 22.01.2025 u/s 329(4), 332(c), 333, 305, 351(2), 61(2), 3(5) of BNS and 27 Arms Act against Madan Mukhiya and others. During investigation and supervision it has come that the petitioner has lodged a false case against the accused of Tilkeswar PS case No. 13/25 to pressurize them to compromise the case bearing Tilkeswar PS case No. 48/24 and 78/24 which has been lodged against the petitioner. The IO of this case after getting instruction from his senior officers has lodged the present case against the petitioner.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and he has not committed any offence. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in this false case. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in this false case due to village politics. The petitioner is in judicial

Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraoul(Darbhanga)

Present:- Sri Shiv Kumar,

Dist.&Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraoul.

BP No. 57/2026(Prabhu Yadav vs. State of Bihar)

Page No. 2 of 2

custody since 28.01.2026 and sufficiently been punished. Continue detention of petitioner would not serve any useful purpose. Therefore the present petition may be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. P.P appearing on behalf of the State opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard submissions made at bar and perused the case records of the present case. The police after completion of its investigation has submitted charge-sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 28.01.2026. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that the investigation is complete and charge-sheet has already been submitted, and the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this court is of the view that no usefully purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further detention. There is no material on record to suggest that the petitioner will abscond or tamper with evidence if released on bail. Under the circumstances bail petition of abovenamed petitioner is **allowed**. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/ (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Tilkeshwar P.S. Case No. 62/25 to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court below.

(Dictated)

(Shiv Kumar)

Addl. Sessions Judge, Biraoul

10.04.2026