

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge , Biraul , Darbhanga.

Present

**Shri Shiv Kumar
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-Biraul**

Darbhanga, dated, the 26th March 2026

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 43/2026

Jeetendra Jha @ Mangnu Jha & Ors.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Tribhuwan Narayan Jha, Ld. Advocate.

Counsel for the State :- Sri Bachcha Rai, Ld. APP

ORDER

26.03.2026 The present anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners who are apprehending his arrest namely 1.Jeetendra Jha @ Mangnu Jha, S/o Tej Narayan Jha, 2.Kaushal Kumar Jha, 3.Pawan Kumar Jha both sons of Jeetendra Jha @ Mangnu Jha in connection with Biraul PS Case No- 525/2025, for offence u/s 329(3), 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS which is pending in the Court of Ld. ACJM-I, Biraul, Darbhanga.

Heard Sri Tribhuwan Narayan Jha, the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Bachcha Rai, Ld. APP on behalf of the State.

The prosecution story in short is that, on 16.12.2025, the informant received the information that the accused was erecting pillars on the disputed land near Sanjeev Jha sand depot. He went to the sand depot areas between at around 4-5 PM and show that the pillars has been erected on the disputed land. He confronted the accused, who is his cousin, and asked why he was working on the land when it was under dispute. The accused started verbally abusing him and said wait, i will show you and he went the home and brought his two sons one of whom was carrying an iron rod and other was wearing a knuckle duster and Pawan struck him on the nose with the knuckle duster, causing laceration and Kaushal then hit him with iron road on back of the head and jeetendra also struck with iron road and he felt to the ground in an unconscious condition. When he regained his consciousness, he was in PHC Biraul, accompany with villagers from where he was referred to DMCh for better treatment.

The Ld. Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have not committed any offence and they are quite innocent. The petitioners have falsely been implicated in this false case due to land dispute. That no such occurrence did ever take place as alleged in FIR. That there is no any criminal antecedents against the petitioners. That the petitioner emphatically deny the allegations as levelled in the FIR. That all alleged offence are bailable save and except u/s 109 of BNS is not specific against above named petitioners. For that the petitioner is man of means and not likely to abscond and is ready to furnish the bail bonds to the satisfaction of the court, as such there is no chance of their absconding. So, it is prayed that accused persons may be enlarge on anticipatory bail.

On the other hand Ld. APP appearing for the State opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Heard, Submissions of both parties and perused the record and considering the discussion made above, that there is no any criminal antecedents against the petitioners as stated by the counsel for petitioners. From the perusal of record it has been found that no injury report is on record in spite of repeated directions. Land dispute has been admitted by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners. Considering no criminal history, submissions made by the Ld. Counsel for petitioners as well as other facts and circumstances of the case the present anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner namely 1.Jeetendra Jha @ Mangnu Jha, S/o Tej Narayan Jha, 2.Kaushal Kumar Jha, 3.Pawan Kumar Jha both sons of Jeetendra Jha @ Mangnu Jha, ABP, is here by **Allowed**. On furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Rs. Ten Thousands/-) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the court, in connection with Biraal PS Case No- 525/2025.

(Dictated and Corrected)

(Shri Shiv Kumar)
District & Addl. Sessions Judge
Biraal
26.03.2026