

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga, Camp Court, Benipur.
A.B.P. No. 78/2026

1. Mani Kant Kamti son of Lalan Kamti.....Petitioner.
 Versus

The State of Bihar..... Opposite Party.

For the petitioner : Sri Navin Thakur, Learned Advocate.

For the O.P.(State): Smt. Punam Jha, Learned I/c Public Prosecutor.

For the Complainant : Sri Amresh Jha, Learned Advocate.

ORDER

03-06-2026: Application for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Mani Kant Kamti**, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 220/2025 corresponding to T.R.No. 2574/202 for the offences U/Ss. 85 of the B.N.S. and section 3 & 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, pressed today.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor for the State assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant.

3. The prosecution case, as per complaint petition of the complainant in brief is that the Complainant was married with accused Mani Kant Kamti about 16 years ago with Hindu ritual and rites. After marriage she was blessed with three sons. After some time complainant's husband abused, assaulted and tortured her and also stopped her food. They stated demand of Rs.20,00,000/- and on non fulfillment of the same he abused and assaulted her in various ways and tried to kill her, but complainant any how managed to escape away from there with her children, resulting into the institution of this present case.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. It is further submitted that no specific over act is alleged against the accused petitioner and the petitioner is husband of the complainant. It is further submitted that now good feeling has been restored between the parties and the petitioner is ready to keep his wife with full care and dignity. institution of the case. It is further submitted that accused petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Hence, the petitioner may be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

5. On the other hand, the learned I/c Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail but conceded that matter has been settled between the parties during Mediation and mediation report is positive.

6. Heard and perused the case record. It is a matrimonial dispute between the parties. The matter was referred to the Mediation centre, where both parties settled the matrimonial dispute amicably between them and now there is no dispute remained. The

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga, Camp Court, Benipur.
A.B.P. No. 78/2026**

learned Mediator has also reported the same facts. The learned counsel for the complainant appearing before the court and supported the factum of settlement between the parties and conjugal relation has been restored between the complainant and accused petitioner.

7. Under the facts and circumstances of the present case as well as mediation report submitted by learned Mediator, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands allowed. Accordingly, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, let the petitioner namely Mani Kant Kamit be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.15,000/- (Fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the court of learned S.D.J.M, Benipur subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita.

Dictated


(Ajay Kumar Sharma)
Sessions Judge, Darbhanga,
Camp Court, Benipur
03-06-2026.


Ajay Kumar Sharma,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Darbhanga

A.B.P. No. 78/2026