

In the Court of Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Benipur, Darbhanga.

Present

**Rishi Gupta
Addl. Sessions Judge- I, Benipur**

Benipur, dated, the 08th June, 2026

Anticipatory Bail Petition no._ 41 of 2026

Md. Yusuf & ors.

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....**Petitioners.**

.....**Opposite Party.**

Counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Haidar Ali, Ld. Advocate.

Counsel for the State :- Smt. Punam Jha, Ld. APP.

ORDER

08.06.2026 The present application for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of petitioners namely 1.Md. Yusuf, aged about 61 years, S/o Late Md. Buchchan, 2.Md. Tahir, aged about 56 years, S/o Late Md. Samsul, 3.Md. Usman, aged about 64 years, S/o Late Md. Samsul and 4.Md. Safi Ahmad, aged about 37 years, S/o Md. Tahir, All R/o village – Jayantipur, P.S.- Bahera, Dist.- Darbhanga, in connection with Bahera P.S Case No. 70/2026, u/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 191(2), 191(3), 190, 324(2), 109, 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS, which is pending in the Court of A.C.J.M., Benipur, Darbhanga.

Heard Sri Haidar Ali, Ld. Counsel for the petitioners and Smt. Punam Jha, Ld. A.P.P. on behalf of the State.

The Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that due to intervention of well wishers and common friends of both the parties, the case has been compromised between the parties outside the court. That due to compromise good feeling have been restored in between the parties. That both the parties do not want to proceed with the case further. That good feelings and better relation has already been restored. That in token of compromise both the parties and their respective lawyer have either signed or put L.T.I. over it. It is therefore prayed that accept the compromise petition and and acquit the accused person.

On the other hand Ld. APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the offense is grave in nature. Hence, the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner may be rejected.

Heard, Submissions of both parties and perused the record. During the course of hearing accused persons as well as informant appeared before court and the informant said that dispute has been settled between the parties. They also filed the copy of compromise petition executed by the informant as well as petitioners was duly identified and checked with his identity card. That the informant Amarjeet Mehtha appeared before court and submitted that he would not give any evidence against the petitioners even during the trial. That as informant is submitting to not to give any evidence against petitioners even during trial, it is meaningless to keep the sword of arrest hanging on the petitioner. So in the light of

these facts and circumstances and with discussions made hereinbefore, this Court finds it proper to **allow** the anticipatory bail petition of this petitioners 1.**Md. Yusuf**, aged about 61 years, S/o Late Md. Buchchan, 2.**Md. Tahir**, aged about 56 years, S/o Late Md. Samsul, 3.**Md. Usman**, aged about 64 years, S/o Late Md. Samsul and 4.**Md. Safi Ahmad**, aged about 37 years, S/o Md. Tahir, All R/o village – Jayantipur, P.S.- Bahera, Dist.- Darbhanga. That in case of arrest or surrender within a period of three weeks of receiving of this order by the Ld. Court below, the accused petitioners is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Rs. Ten Thousands/-) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the court below and with the condition that accused petitioner shall cooperate in investigation and trial.

(Dictated and Corrected)

(Rishi Gupta)
Addl. Sessions Judge I,
Benipur
08.06.2026.

Date of Order	08.06.2026
Date of Reserving Order	08.06.2026
Uploading Date	09.06.2026
Uploaded by	Lav Kumar, Court Reader cum D.W.