

**In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-I, Darbhanga,
Bihar.**

ABP No.- 1685 of 2025

Ref :- Arising out of Simri PS Case No.- 293 of 2025, Dated- 11.11.2025,
U/s- 126(2), 115(2), 76, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the BNS

In the matter of :-

1. Suresh Paswan, Aged about 68 years,
 2. Ramesh Paswan, Aged about 65 years,
Both sons of Bishuni Paswan
 3. Digamber Paswan, Aged about 40 years, S/o Suresh Paswan,
 4. Nawal Paswan, Aged about 38 years, S/o Ramesh Paswan,
 5. Rohit Paswan, Aged about 35 years, S/o Devendra Paswan,
 6. Chandra Deo Paswan, Aged about 60 years, S/o Late Jadu Paswan,
 7. Sanjay Paswan, Aged about 30 years, S/o Maheshwar Paswan,
 8. Pankaj Paswan @ Pankaj @ Sumit Paswan, Aged about 30 years S/o
Sanjay Paswan,
 9. Lal Paswan, Aged about 28 years, S/o Kanchan Paswan,
 10. Saroj Paswan, Aged about 26 years, S/o Ravindra Paswan,
 11. Chandra Rekha Devi, Aged about 60 years, W/o Suresh Paswan
- All are R/o Village- Teunga, P.S.- Simri, District- Darbhanga

----- **Petitioners**

Versus.

The State of Bihar

----- **Opposite Party**

Learned counsel for the petitioners : Sri Arvind Kumar Sinha, Advocate.

Learned counsel for Opp.Party : Sri Amrendra Narayan Jha, P.P.

Date of order:- 10.03.2026

ORDER

1. The petitioners above named seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Simri PS Case No.- 293 of 2025, Dated- 11.11.2025, U/s- 126(2), 115(2), 76, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the BNS is pending in the court of Ld. CJM, Darbhanga.
2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 07.11.2025 at about 1:00 PM, all the petitioners came near the informant and started abusing him. When the informant objected to such behaviour, the accused persons allegedly assaulted him. It is further alleged that when the informant's elder brother Manoj Mahto came to rescue him, Nawal Paswan assaulted him with an iron rod. It is also alleged that Suresh Paswan assaulted the

informant with a knife which struck his nose, while the other accused persons assaulted him with fists and slaps. When Ankaj came to intervene, he was also assaulted by the accused persons. It is further alleged that the hand of Chand Kumari was fractured due to assault by Suresh Paswan.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioners have one criminal antecedent pending against them. The present case, according to the defence, has been lodged as a counterblast to Simri P.S. Case No. 294 of 2025, which was instituted earlier by the side of the petitioners. It has also been argued that most of the alleged offences are bailable in nature, and the provisions of Sections 76 and 303(2) of the BNS have been wrongly invoked in the present case.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
5. Upon perusal of the materials available on record, it appears that the injuries found on the persons of the informant Chotu Mahto and Manoj Mahto are simple in nature, caused by hard and blunt objects. It further appears that the petitioners have been issued notice under Section 35(3) of the BNS, and no misuse of the liberty granted under the said notice has been reported so far.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of injuries, and also keeping in mind that the police have already issued notice under Section 35(3) of the BNS to the petitioners, this Court finds it a fit case to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail.
7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest or surrender within 30 days from the date of this order, the petitioners shall be released on

anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned.

(Dictated)

**District & Addl Sessions Judge-I
Darbhanga.
10.03.2026**

Date of Order	10-03-2026
Date of Reserving Order	
Uploading Date	13-03-2026
Uploaded by	Ratnesh Kumar (DEO)

Web copy