

Page No.1
Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga.
Present :- Shri Neeraj Kumar-II, I/c Sessions Judge, Darbhanga
B.P. 674/2026 (Mukul Kumar Singh Vs. State of Bihar)

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga, Bihar.
B.P. No.674/2026

In the matter of :-

1. Mukul Kumar Singh son of Arvind Kumar Singh----- **Petitioner.**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar ----- **Opposite Party.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner : Shri Uday Lal Deo, Advocate.

Learned counsel for Opp. Party : Shri Amrendra Nr. Jha, P.P.

=====

ORDER

31.07.2026. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned P.P. for the state on the bail petition filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioner, who is in custody since 12.06.2026 in connection with Sonki P.S. Case No.79/2026 for offence U/ss 317(4), 317(5) of the BNS and section 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that on getting some secret information, when the informant reached near 80-85 brick klin with police personals, three persons started fleeing, out of which one person apprehended, but two persons succeeded in fleeing away. The apprehended person told his name as Vikky Kumar Mandal and he disclosed the name of petitioner, who fled away from there. On search country made pistol with the live cartridge and a black colour Pulsar motorcycle has recovered. It is disclosed by Vikky Mandal that he along with petitioner and other gathered with an intention to commit robbery in the night.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has committed no offence. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to grudge, enmity as well a village politics. He further submitted that accused petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from conscious and constructive possession of accused petitioner and he was not arrested on the spot,

Page No.2
Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga.
Present :- Shri Neeraj Kumar-II, I/c Sessions Judge, Darbhanga
B.P. 674/2026 (Mukul Kumar Singh Vs. State of Bihar)

rather his name has been surfaced in this case on the confessional statement of co-accused Vikky Kumar Mandal before the police, which has no legal value. It is further submitted that no case under section 317(4), 317(5) of BNS is made out against the petitioner. The accused petitioner is in jail custody since 12.06.2026. It is therefore prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Heard both sides and perused the case record. The petitioner was arrested on 12.06.2026 on the basis of disclosure statement of the accused Vikky Kumar Mandal. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious and constructive possession of the accused petitioner. The accused petitioner is in custody since 12.06.2026.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case discussed above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby **allowed** on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned with condition that the accused petitioner will not get himself involved in the offence of similar nature and in case of default, prosecution shall be at liberty to initiate cancellation of bail of accused petitioner.

7. It is made clear that this order has no bearing on the merits of the case.

(Dictated)

(Neeraj Kumar-II)
I/c Sessions Judge
Darbhanga.
31.07.2026.