

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga.**A.B.P. No. 1101/2026**

-
1. Bibhisan Yadav son of Late Ram Prasad Yadav
 2. Ram Udgar Yadav son of Late Ram Prasad Yadav
 3. Manju Devi wife of Ram Udgar Yadav
 4. Ranjan Devi wife of Bibhisan Yadav.....Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar..... Opposite Party.

For the petitioners: Shri Surendar Kumar Suman, Learned Advocate.

For the O.P.(State): Shri. A.N. Jha, Learned Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

30.07.2026: Application for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners namely **Bibhisan Yadav, Ram Udgar Yadav, Manju Devi and Ranjan Devi** arising out Manigachi PS Case No.131/2026 for offences u/ss 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 352, 118(1), 75, 303, 110, 3(5) of the BNS, pressed today.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The allegation is that on the alleged date of occurrence on 13.05.2026 at 1.30 AM, when informant along with her children were sleeping at her house, accused Bibhishan Yadav came there and tried to close her mouth with the help of cloth, but anyhow she saved herself. The accused threatened her to face dire consequences, if she told to any one about the incident and fled away from there. It is alleged that on same date at 6.00 to 6.30 AM accused Bibhishan Yadav, Ram Udgar Yadav, Manju Devi, Ranjan Devi, duly armed with iron rod and tengari came there and started abusing her. Accused Ram Udgar Yadav caught the informant and accused Bibhishan Yadav assaulted her with iron tengari, causing injury and blood was oozing out. Accused Ram Udgar Yadav caught her hair and slammed her. Accused Bibhishan Yadav torn her Blauz and all the accused persons assaulted her with iron rod, kick and fists. On hulla nearby people came there. Accused Manju Devi and Ranjan Devi took silver bangles from the hand of the informant. The brother of the informant came there and took her to PHC, Manigachi for treatment and from there referred to DMCH, Darbhanga, resulting into the institution of the present case.

4. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioner are quite

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga.**A.B.P. No. 1101/2026**

innocent, have committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that no occurrence as alleged in the First Information Report has ever taken place. The further submission is that the injury report submitted by the DMCH does not corroborate the manner of occurrence as such at the initial allegation all the persons either collectively or severally are alleged to have assaulted the informant, but only one injury has been reported to have sustained on the informant by the Medical Officer. The further submission is that by perusal of the case record, it appears admitted that both parties belong to same family and the petitioners carries clean antecedent. Hence, the petitioners may be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

5. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor oppose the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Heard and perused the case diary. Para-4 of the case diary reflects re-statement of the informant supporting the occurrence. Para No. 5 of the case diary reflects the description of place of occurrence which appears situated at a place in village Banghat. Para no. 7, 8 and 9 of the case diary reflects the statement of witnesses supporting the occurrence. Para no. 24 of the case diary reflects clean antecedent of the petitioner. Injury report of the injured Sunita Devi where one stitched wound of size 3 cm over central of frontal region has been reported on her person, however, the opinion of injury has not yet been accorded.

7. Under the facts and circumstances of the present case, the nature of allegation, so far petitioner no. 1 Bibhishan Yadav is concerned, he is alleged to have inflicted iron tengari blow on the head and the injury report gets supported where the Medical Officer has found stitched wound over the head of the informant, which is a vital part of the body, the Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to **petitioner no.1 Bibhishan Yadav**. Consequently, the prayer of anticipatory bail of the **petitioner no. 1 Bibhishan Yadav** stands **rejected**.

8. However, anticipatory bail petition of **petitioner no. 2 to 4**

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga.**A.B.P. No. 1101/2026**

namely Ram Udgar Yadav, Manju Devi and Ranjan Devi are concerned, it is alleged against them is general and omnibus and causing injuries on the non vital part of the body. Consequently, the prayer of anticipatory bail of the **petitioner no. 2 to 4 namely Ram Udgar Yadav, Manju Devi and Ranjan Devi** stands **allowed**. Accordingly, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, let the **petitioner no. 2 to 4 namely Ram Udgar Yadav, Manju Devi and Ranjan Devi** be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.15,000/- (Fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court of A.C.J.M.-VI, Darbhanga subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita.

Dictated

(Ajay Kumar Sharma)
Sessions Judge, Darbhanga.
30.07.2026.