

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga

Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552

ABP No. 852/2026

(Arising out of University P.S. Case No. 91/2026)

Rahul Mahto and others Versus the State of Bihar

1. Rahul Mahto, Aged about 31 years,

2. Sajan Kumar, Aged about 23 years,

Both S/o Lalo Mahto,

3. Lalo Mahto @ Lal Babu Mahto, Aged about 61 years, S/o Late Mahadev Mahto

4. Putul Devi, Aged about 46 years, W/o Lalo Mahto @ Lal Babu Mahto,

5. Rinku Devi, Aged about 29 years, W/o Rahul Mahto

All are R/o Mohalla - Chunabhathi, P.S. - LNMU, District - Darbhanga.

----- Petitioners

versus

State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

Appearance(s):

Counsel for the Petitioner(s)

: Sri Manoj Kumar, Learned Advocate.

Counsel for the State

: Sri A. N. Jha, Learned Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

10.06.2026

1. The petitioners above named sought anticipatory bail in connection with University P.S. Case No 91/2026 registered for the offences under Section 137(2), 96, 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita (hereinafter referred to as 'BNS' for short).
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that he was innocent and had not committed any offence. It was further submitted that petitioners had not filed bail petition of any sort earlier this court or either before any superior court. It was also submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. It was also submitted that this false and concocted case has been lodged only with a view to put pressure upon the petitioner and his family members. It was also submitted that no occurrence took place as alleged by the informant. It was also submitted that the informant and her family members with a view to save the prestige in society, this false case is lodged with false allegation. It was also submitted that ABP 616/26 was filed on behalf of co-accused Bhola Mahto which has been rejected by the learned Addl. Session Judge 1st, darbhanga 05.05.2026. It was further submitted that from the perusal of FIR no offence u/s 137(2), 96 BNS is made out against these petitioners. It was submitted that the petitioner was ready to abide by the condition(s) imposed upon him by the learned court. The learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the petition and prayed to reject it.
4. Heard both the sides, perused the case record and the Learned Trial Court Record.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga

Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552

ABP No. 852/2026

(Arising out of University P.S. Case No. 91/2026)

Rahul Mahto and others Versus the State of Bihar

5. The allegation against the petitioner is that they along with other accused persons assaulted the informant when she complained of missing of her minor daughter it is alleged that the informant was denuded and her clothes were torn apart.
6. The petitioner Rinki Devi was also with the accused Bhola when the minor victim was taken by him from Darbhanga to Punjab (Statement of the victim u/s 183 of the BNSS). Taking into account the factum of involvement of the petitioner Rinki Devi, I do not find it a fit case to grant her the privilege of anticipatory bail. In the result, the instant anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **5. Rinku Devi** stands **rejected**.
7. So far as petitioner no. 1 to 4 are concerned, the informant stated about only some minor scuffle in contrast to what she stated in the FIR. The victim has not imputed anything against these petitioners in her statement u/s 183 of the BNSS. In facts and circumstances as stated above, the instant anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners namely **1. Rahul Mahto, 2. Sajan Kumar, 3. Lalo Mahto @ Lal Babu Mahto and 4. Putul Devi** is hereby **allowed**. In the event of arrest or surrender by the petitioner within 21 (Twenty One) days of receipt/production of this order, they are directed to be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Learned Jurisdictional Court concerned with an undertaking as stipulated under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.
8. It is made clear that this order has no bearing on the merits of the case.
9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jurisdictional Court.
10. Let this case record be deposited in the Record Room, Civil Court, Darbhanga after all due diligence.

(Neeraj Kumar - II)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Darbhanga
10.06.2026

Date of Order	10.06.2026
Date of Reserving Order	10.06.2026
Uploading Date	10.06.2026
Uploaded by	Pushkar (Stenographer)