

1. **Parshu Ram Yadav**, Aged about 57 years, S/o Raj Kumar Yadav,

2. **Shri Ram Yadav**, Aged about 41 years,

3. **Mohan Yadav**, Aged about 21 years,

4. **Rishi Yadav @ Rishi Kumar**, Aged about 19 years,

Sons of Parshu Ram Yadav

All are R/o Village - Balha Sabaul, P.S. - Simri, District - Darbhanga.

----- Petitioners

versus

State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

Appearance(s):

Counsel for the Petitioner(s)

: Md. Amir, Learned Advocate.

Counsel for the State

: Sri A. N. Jha, Learned Public Prosecutor.

Counsel for the Informant

: Sri Jagat Lal Yadav, Learned Advocate.

ORDER

19.06.2026

1. The petitioners above named sought anticipatory bail in connection with Simri P.S. Case No. 104/2026 registered for the offences under Section 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita (hereinafter referred to as 'BNS' for short).
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that they were innocent and had not committed any offence. It was further submitted that petitioners had not filed bail petition of any sort earlier this court or either before any superior court. It was also submitted that there are criminal antecedents against petitioner no. 1 vide Simri PS Case No. 142/2022, Simri PS Case No. 12/2023, u/s 143, 341, 323, 324, 308, 379, 504, 34 IPC Simri PS Case No. 60/2026 u/s 126, 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 3(5) BNS, Simri PS 304/2025, Simri PS 145/2025 u/s 318(4), 356(2), 351(3), 3(5) BNS in which the police found the case false against the petitioner no. 1, 2 and 3 vide Simri PS 142/2022 and petitioner no. 4 vide Simri PS 142/2022, Simri PS 60/2026 as per communicated by the petitioner. It was also submitted that the entire allegation as made and framed against the petitioners are totally false and baseless. It was also submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. It was further alleged that as a matter of fact the prosecution party brutally assaulted the accused Chandeshwar Yadav for which Simri PS Case No. 103/2026 U/s 121, 122, 109, 352, 351(2) lodged against the son of the informant and others due to create defense this false case has been filed against the petitioners. It was further alleged that all the sections are bailable except 109, 303(2) BNS which is not made out against the petitioners. It was further submitted that due to intervention of well wishers of both the parties, the case has been compromised between them. It was submitted that the petitioner were ready to abide by the condition(s) imposed upon him by the learned court. The learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga
Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552
ABP No. 905/2026
(Arising out of Simri P.S. Case No. 104/2026)
Parshu Ram Yadav and others Versus the State of Bihar

3. The informant and her learned counsel did not object the bail petition. The Learned PP fairly conceded the factum of compromise between the parties.
4. Heard both the sides, perused the case record.
5. The allegation against the petitioners is that they along with other accused persons assaulted informant's son Raman and injured his head and right hand palm. The informant was also verbally abused and assaulted by Chandeshwar Yadav and was denuded. Informant's son was assaulted with an iron rod by Rishi Yadav and his golden pendant and silver chain were snatched by Mahadev and Mohan. Pankaj assaulted the informant's son and his right wrist was injured.
6. The informant and the petitioners filed a joint compromise. The informant and her learned counsel supported the factum of compromise and did not object the bail petition. Both the sides have filed the case against each other for the same date of incident.
7. In the facts and circumstances as discussed above, the instant anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners namely **1. Parshu Ram Yadav, 2. Shri Ram Yadav, 3. Mohan Yadav and 4. Rishi Yadav @ Rishi Kumar** is hereby **allowed**. In the event of arrest or surrender by the petitioners within 21 (Twenty One) days of receipt/production of this order, they are directed to be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Learned Jurisdictional Court concerned with an undertaking as stipulated under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.
8. It is made clear that this order has no bearing on the merits of the case.
9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jurisdictional Court.
10. Let this case record be deposited in the Record Room, Civil Court, Darbhanga after all due diligence.

(Neeraj Kumar - II)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Darbhanga
19.06.2026

Date of Order	19.06.2026
Date of Reserving Order	19.06.2026
Uploading Date	19.06.2026
Uploaded by	Pushkar (Stenographer)