

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga

Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552

BP No. 528/2026

(Arising out of Pator P.S. Case No 68/2026)

Ritik Kumar Versus the State of Bihar

Ritik Kumar, Aged about 19 years, S/o Rajeeb Kumar, R/o Village- Dokana, P.S. - Biraul,
District - Bagpat, U.P.

----- Petitioner

versus

State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

Appearance(s):

Counsel for the Petitioner(s) : Sri Lokesh Kumar Jha, Learned Advocate.

Counsel for the State : Sri A. N. Jha, Learned Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

15.06.2026

1. The petitioner above named sought regular bail in connection with Pator P.S. Case No 68/2026 registered for the offence under Sections 96, 137(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita (hereinafter referred to as 'BNS' for short). The petitioner has been in judicial custody since 20.05.2026.
2. The Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that he was innocent and had not committed any offence. It was also submitted that a petition for bail had been filed on behalf of this petitioner before the ACJM-IX, Darbhanga which was rejected on 27.05.2026, except this the petitioner had not filed bail petition of any sort earlier before this court or either before any superior court. It was also submitted that the petitioner had no criminal antecedent. It was further submitted that the informant lodged this false and concocted case with false allegation only with a view to save the prestige in his society. It was also submitted that from the perusal of statement u/s 180 BNSS recorded by Mahila PS no offence u/s 96, 137(2), 3(5) BNS is made out. It was submitted that the petitioner was ready to abide by the condition(s) imposed upon him by the learned court. The learned counsel prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.
3. The Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the petition and prayed to reject it.
4. Heard both the sides, perused the case record and the Learned Trial Court Record.
5. The prosecution case according to FIR in brief is that the informant and his brother's minor daughters were missing. In course of search, informant's niece was found with the petitioner. When the petitioner was asked about the informant's daughter he told that Anuj Kumar eloped with her.
6. The petitioner stated that he and Ramesh took both the victims to Darbhanga Railway Station. Both the victim girls are minor. Informant's daughter is yet to be recovered. Consent of minor is of little relevance in such cases.
7. Though the petitioner has been in custody since 20.05.2026 but taking into account the facts, circumstances, nature of allegation and stage of the case, I am not of the

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga
Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552
BP No. 528/2026
(Arising out of Pator P.S. Case No 68/2026)
Ritik Kumar Versus the State of Bihar

opinion to enlarge him on bail. In the result, the bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Ritik Kumar** stands **rejected**.

8. It is made clear that this order has no bearing on the merits of the case.
9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jurisdictional Court.
10. Let this case record be deposited in the Record Room, Civil Court, Darbhanga after all due diligence.

(Neeraj Kumar - II)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Darbhanga
15.06.2026

Date of Order	15.06.2026
Date of Reserving Order	15.06.2026
Uploading Date	15.06.2026
Uploaded by	Pushkar (Stenographer)