

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-III, Darbhanga.
(Present: Sri Bhupendra Singh, Additional Sessions Judge-III, Darbhanga)
ABP No. 861/2026

(Arising out of Bishanpur P.S Case No.- 121/2025, U/s- 189(2), 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2) BNS).

In the matter of :-

1. Shiv Sahni, S/o- Kishun Sahni, aged about 23 years,
 2. Vikram Sahni @ Vikaram Kumar, S/o- Ram Ashish Sahni, aged about 23 years,
 3. Kishun Sahni @ Krishan Kumar, S/o- Ram Ashish Sahni, aged about 57 years,
 4. Devki Sahni, S/o- Ram Ashish Sahni, aged about 57 years,
 5. Andhir Sahni @ Randhir Sahni, S/o- Uttam Sahni, aged about 39 years,
- All are resident of village- Bishaul, P.S- Bishanpur, District- Darbhanga.

----- **Petitioners**

Versus.

The State of Bihar

----- **Opposite Party**

Learned counsel for the petitioners : Sri Shrawan Kumar, Advocate.

Learned counsel for Opp.Party : Sri Amrendra Narayan Jha, Ld. P.P.

Date of order:- 22.06.2026

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Bishanpur P.S Case No.- 121/2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 189(2), 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS).

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they are innocent and have committed no offence. It is further submitted that the petitioner Nos.3 and 5 have a criminal antecedents bearing Bishanpur P.S Case No.27/2024 registered under Sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 307, 504, 506/34 of IPC and petitioner Nos. 1, 2 and 4 have clean antecedents. It is further submitted that the petitioner Nos.4, 5 and 3 have filed an anticipatory bail vide ABP No.1711/2025 which was rejected and thereafter, no bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners either in your honours Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna and no such petition is pending anywhere for disposal and after compromise this anticipatory bail petition has been filed and hence, praying for anticipatory bail.

The Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

As per FIR, on 18.09.2025, the petitioners and other co-accused came at the shop of the informant Satrugan Sahni in drunken state and started abusing and when the informant objected, they assaulted the informant and his family members by fatta and also snatched gold ornaments, mobiles and Rs.10,000/- kept in the shop. They also threatened to kill one of the informant's son. The informant's wife Indu Devi and Sonu Kumar were taken to Hanuman Nagar Hospital from where, seeing their critical conditions, they were referred to DMCH, Darbhanga. On the basis of written report of the informant, the FIR was

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-III, Darbhanga.
(Present: Sri Bhupendra Singh, Additional Sessions Judge-III, Darbhanga)
ABP No. 861/2026

(Arising out of Bishanpur P.S Case No.- 121/2025, U/s- 189(2), 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2) BNS).

Contd.

22.06.2026

lodged under Sections 189(2), 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS).

Perused the record and case diary. It transpires that the prosecution version finds support from it. There is allegations against the petitioners that they along with other co-accused assaulted the informant and his family members and snatched gold ornaments, mobiles and money and threatened them also. Earlier, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner No.3 Kishun Sahni @ Krishan Kumar, petitioner No.4 Devki Sahni and petitioner No.5 Andhir Sahni @ Randhir Sahni was rejected vide ABP No.1711/25 by this Court and there is no sufficient and fresh ground to reconsider the prayer for bail of the said petitioners. The injury reports of the injured Indu Devi, Satrugan Sahni, Sonu Kumar and Rahul Kumar shows that they all sustained injuries caused by hard and blunt substance but simple, out of which some of the injuries are on scalp of some injured persons, a vital part of the body. The investigation of the case is still going on.

Having considered aforesaid including the nature of allegations, and the present stage of investigation, this Court is of the view that the petitioners do not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby, **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge-III, Darbhanga
22.06.2026