

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga.**B.P. No.454/2026**

1. Umesh Sahni son of Lal Sahni

R/o Village-Makranda, PS.-Manigachhi, District- Darbhanga

.....Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar..... Opposite Party.

For the petitioner : Shri Ramashish Sah , learned Advocate.

For the O.P.(State): Shri A.N. Jha, learned Public Prosecutor.

ORDER**02-06-2026**

Application for regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Umesh Sahni, who is in judicial custody since 08-05-2026 in connection with Manigachi P.S. Case No. 69/2025 for the offences U/ss. 329(3),126(2),115(2),109(1),303(2),352, 3 (5) of the BNS , pressed today.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor.

3. The allegation is that on the alleged dated of occurrence on 10.04.2026 on 11.05.2025 at about 02.00 PM accused persons namely Bhoril Sahni, Rajesh Sahni, Pappu Sahni, Singheshwar Sahni, Bhola Sahni, Ashish Sahni, Vinod Sahni, Pramod Sahni, Raja Sahni, Umesh Sahni, Vikash Sahni and Ranjeet Sahni all came to the house of the informant and started assaulting the informant. It is further alleged that when the informant's brother Kailash Sahni came to save him then accused persons also assaulted Kailash Sahni and also threw brick and stones in the house, due to which house of informant became dismantled. It is further alleged that accused Pappu Sahni also took the cash of Rs. 5,000/- leading to the institution of the present case.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the accused-petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It is further submitted that he has been implicated in this case due to some ulterior motives. He further submitted that all the offences are bailable except the offence u/s 109(1) and 303(2) of the BNS which are not attracted against the accused petitioner as injured has sustained only simple injury over their person. It has further submitted that no specific overt act is mentioned in the FIR. He further submitted that there is case and counter case in between the parties. He further submitted that co-accused Aashish Sahni has been granted regular bail by this Court vide B.P.No.248 of 2026 and case of the petitioner stands on similar footing. Further submission is that accused petitioner has clean antecedent and he is in custody

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Darbhanga.
B.P. No.454/2026

since 08.05.2026. Hence, the petitioner may be granted the privilege of regular bail.

5. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer of regular bail.

6. Heard and perused the case diary. Para no. 2 of the case diary reflects the re-statement of the informant supporting the occurrence. Para No. 5 is the description of the place of occurrence which appears situated at village Makranda. Para no. 06, 07 of the case diary reflects the statement of witnesses supporting the occurrence. Para No. 14 of the case diary reflects clean antecedent of accused petitioner. The injury report of Ram Lakahan Sahni reflects lacerated wound on left temporal region of scalp and doctor has opined that the injury is simple in nature. The injury report of injured Prakash Sahni reflects about 8-9 cm long cut was present over right parietal bone, but the injury is also opined by the doctor as simple in nature. Further it appears that co-accused Aashish Sahni has been granted regular bail by this Court vide B.P.No.248 of 2026. The petitioner is in custody since 08.05.2026.

7. Under the facts and circumstances of the present case, the nature of allegation , coupled with period of custody, the application for regular bail of the petitioner stands **allowed**. Let the petitioner namely Umesh Sahni, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.15,000/- (Fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court of Learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Darbhanga.

(Dictated)

(Ajay Kumar Sharma)
Sessions Judge, Darbhanga.
02.06.2026.