

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga
Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552
ABP No. 751/2026
(Arising out of TR No. 519/2026 in CR No. 42/2022)
Prashant Kumar Sharma Versus the State of Bihar

Prashant Kumar Sharma, Aged about 31 years, S/o Mahesh Narayan Sharma @ Mahesh Kumar Sharma, R/o Village - Rajouli, P.O. and P.S. - Hayaghat, District - Darbhanga
----- Petitioner

versus

State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

Appearance(s):

Counsel for the Petitioner(s) : Sri Ajay Kumar Singh, Learned Advocate.

Counsel for the State : Sri A. N. Jha, Learned Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

20.05.2026

1. The petitioner above named sought anticipatory bail in connection with TR No. 519/2026 in CR No. 42/2022 registered for the offences under Section 23 and 25 of the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (hereinafter referred to as 'PC & PNDT' for short).
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that he was innocent and had not committed any offence. It was further submitted that petitioner had not filed bail petition of any sort earlier this court or either before any superior court. It was further submitted that the petitioner has no previous criminal antecedent. It was also submitted that that it is pertinent to mention here that this petitioner is not staff of Max Imaging Centre, Hayaghat rather he is staff of an another adjacent shopkeeper. It was also submitted that it is important to mention here that when raid was made on 12.05.2022 but this CR No. 42 of 2022/G.O Case No. 42 of 2022 was filed on 02.08.2022 after three months. It was also alleged that that the petitioner is only a seizure list witness which will be clear from the raid report. It was submitted that the petitioner was ready to abide by the condition(s) imposed upon him by the learned court. The learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the petition and prayed to reject it.
4. Heard both the sides, perused the case record and the case diary.
5. The prosecution case according to complaint of the appropriate authority(PC & PNDT Act) in brief is that an unregistered ultrasonography was being operated wherein the petitioner was a staff.
6. What is strange from perusal of the complaint of the appropriate authority(PC & PNDT Act) is that the petitioner is not only made a witness but also an accused. The petitioner is not the owner of the alleged unregistered ultrasonography centre. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Cognizance has already been taken in this case.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga
Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552
ABP No. 751/2026
(Arising out of TR No. 519/2026 in CR No. 42/2022)
Prashant Kumar Sharma Versus the State of Bihar

7. In the facts and circumstances as discussed above, the instant anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Prashant Kumar Sharma** stands **allowed**. In the event of arrest or surrender by the petitioner within 21 (Twenty One) days of receipt/production of this order, he is directed to be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Learned Jurisdictional Court concerned with an undertaking as stipulated under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.
8. It is made clear that this order has no bearing on the merits of the case.
9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jurisdictional Court.
10. Let this case record be deposited in the Record Room, Civil Court, Darbhanga after all due diligence.

(Neeraj Kumar - II)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Darbhanga
20.05.2026

Date of Order	20.05.2026
Date of Reserving Order	20.05.2026
Uploading Date	20.05.2026
Uploaded by	Pushkar (Stenographer)