

- 1. Vina Devi**, Aged about 62 years, W/o Vimal Bhushan Yadav,
- 2. Vimal Bhushan Yadav**, Aged about 64 years, S/o Late Sriraj Kumar Yadav,
- 3. Kiran Devi**, Aged about 45 years, W/o Om Prakash Yadav, D/o Viman Bhushan Yadav,
- 4. Parbha Devi**, Aged about 30 years, W/o Arun Kumar Yadav, D/o Vimal Bhushan Yadav

All are R/o Village - Pirojgarh, P.S. - Ghoghardiha, District - Madhubani

----- Petitioners

versus

State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

Appearance(s):

Counsel for the Petitioner(s)	: Sri Taushil Akhtar, Learned Advocate.
Counsel for the State	: Sri A. N. Jha, Learned Public Prosecutor.
Counsel for the Informant	: Sri Madhab Choudhary, Learned Advocate

ORDER

09.06.2026

1. The petitioners above named sought anticipatory bail in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No 27/2026 registered for the offences under Section 85, 115(2), 351(2), 352, 89, 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita (hereinafter referred to as 'BNS' for short).
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that they were innocent and had not committed any offence. It was further submitted that petitioners had not filed bail petition of any sort earlier this court or either before any superior court. It was also submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. It was further submitted that the petitioners are in laws and are innocent, petitioner no. 3 and 4 are sisters in law and are living in her sasural and the petitioners no. 1 and 2 are mother-in-law and father-in-law and are living separately. It was further submitted that it is pertinent to mention here that the informant don't want to live in her sasural and always wanted to live in her maika in Darbhanga. It was further stated that the petitioners and the informant husband do not have any concern as they are separated after marriage of informant. It was submitted that the petitioners were ready to abide by the condition(s) imposed upon him by the learned court. The learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor assisted by the learned counsel for the Informant opposed the petition and prayed to reject it.
4. Heard both the sides, perused the case record and the case diary.
5. The allegation against the petitioner is that they demanded rupees 10 lakhs from the informant and on refusal she was verbally abused and assaulted. In course of time, she gave birth to a child but she was not taken care of by accused persons. Again informant's father gave 3.5 lakhs then she was kept in a rented accommodation. When she became pregnant again, she was forcibly aborted.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga

Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552

ABP No. 683/2026

(Arising out of Mahila P.S. Case No. 27/2026)

Vina Devi & others Versus the State of Bihar

6. The petitioners are the in-laws of the informant. Except the verbal allegation no injury report nor any sheet of paper with respect to the allegation was produced either by the prosecution or by the informant. No custodial interrogation is required in this case.
7. In the facts and circumstances as discussed above, the instant anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners namely **1. Vina Devi, 2. Vimal Bhushan Yadav, 3. Kiran Devi and 4. Parbha Devi** is hereby **allowed**. In the event of arrest or surrender by the petitioners within 21 (Twenty One) days of receipt/production of this order, they are directed to be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Learned Jurisdictional Court concerned with an undertaking as stipulated under Section 482(2) of the BNSS. The learned Jurisdictional Court is expected to explore the possibility of amicable resolution of the dispute between the parties.
8. It is disgruntling to note that the concerned PSI Ms K. Madhubala was summoned physically by this court and she assured of submitting the update case diary, yet no update case diary was submitted by her. The perfunctory case diary submitted confined only to date 25.03.2026 and only contained statements of witnesses recorded on the date of FIR(14.02.2026). This callous and lethargic approach of the concerned police officer is subversive to the cause of justice. **Let the order be communicated to the SSP, Darbhanga for necessary action.**
9. It is made clear that this order has no bearing on the merits of the case.
10. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jurisdictional Court.
11. Let this case record be deposited in the Record Room, Civil Court, Darbhanga after all due diligence.

(Neeraj Kumar - II)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Darbhangha
09.06.2026

Date of Order	09.06.2026
Date of Reserving Order	09.06.2026
Uploading Date	09.06.2026
Uploaded by	Pushkar (stenographer)