

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga
Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552
ABP No. 598/2026
(Arising out of Town P.S. Case No. 104/22 in TR 1589/25 of CRI 2433/25)
Naushad Quraishi Versus the State of Bihar

Naushad Quraishi, Aged about 30 years, S/o Haidar Quraishi, R/o Village - Sahsupan,
P.S. - Laheriasarai, District – Darbhanga

----- Petitioner

versus

State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

Appearance(s):

Counsel for the Petitioner(s) : Sri Mahesh Prasad, Learned Advocate.

Counsel for the State : Sri A. N. Jha, Learned Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

29.04.2026

1. The petitioner above named sought anticipatory bail in connection with TR No.1589/25 in CRI No. 2433/25 in Town P.S. Case No. 104/22 registered for the offences under Section 147, 341, 323, 384, 506, 379, 448 of the Indian Penal Code (hereinafter referred to as 'IPC' for short).
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that he was innocent and had not committed any offence. It was further submitted that petitioner had not filed bail petition of any sort earlier this court or either before any superior court. It was also stated that the petitioner had three criminal antecedents except this case in vide Laheriasarai PS Case No. 124/16 and 601/23 in which he is on bail. It was further stated that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case due to bad party politics. It was further alleged that from perusal of FIR it is crystal clear that after much delay, this case had been registered creating serious doubt. It was submitted that the petitioner was ready to abide by the condition(s) imposed upon him by the learned court. The learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the petition and prayed to reject it.
4. Heard both the sides, perused the case record and the Learned Trial Court Record.
5. The allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other accused persons demanded rupees 5 lakhs in extortion from the informant otherwise his house could not be allowed to be constructed. It is alleged that the informant was dragged by other accused persons and his cash and jewellery was also snatched.
6. There is no delivery of any property or valuable security in pursuance of the demand of extortion by the informant to the accused persons. None of the alleged stolen article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. There is no specific allegation against this petitioner.
7. In the facts and circumstances as discussed above, the instant anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Naushad Quraishi** stands **allowed**.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga
Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552
ABP No. 598/2026
(Arising out of Town P.S. Case No. 104/22 in TR 1589/25 of CRI 2433/25)
Naushad Quraishi Versus the State of Bihar

In the event of arrest or surrender by the petitioner within 21 (Twenty One) days of receipt/production of this order, he is directed to be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Learned Jurisdictional Court concerned with an undertaking as stipulated under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. It is made clear that this order has no bearing on the merits of the case.
9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jurisdictional Court.
10. Let this case record be deposited in the Record Room, Civil Court, Darbhanga after all due diligence.

(Neeraj Kumar - II)
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Darbhanga
29.04.2026

Date of Order	29.04.2026
Date of Reserving Order	29.04.2026
Uploading Date	29.04.2026
Uploaded by	Pushkar (Stenographer)